1877

LR

File No: 53 of 1877

SL No. 1060

2

1877
(L.R.)

File. No. 53-77.
Serial. No. 1 to 60

Sub: Remission on the assessment on the Jodi Inam land of Mayasandra Chatra Complaints of Ramanagar.

250

P7-no 37-1893

1877-78 3

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 53-77

192 .

Serial Nos. 1 to 61

(L. Q.)

Later references.
L.Q. 64-88-1034.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 60

Part B for May 1888.

SUBJECT.

Remission of assessment on the Jodi lands of Mayasandra Chatra. Complaints of Ramanagar.

---

1 to 60.

L. The Deputy Commr. Tumkur & the Comptroller.

No. 999-1000 dt 25-5 7-5-1

Directs to remit all arrears in respect of Ramanagar's dry & wet lands, Bommanahally &c to the end of the year, with the exception of Rs 60/- L.Q. & which the Petitioners must pay & then the [illegible] in the lands in question shall [illegible] accounts.

W.D. 2238—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Index [illegible]
[illegible]-21

4 15

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

శ్రీరామ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1745

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(82) 11

(15)

[illegible]

[illegible]

13 15/a

3

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible]

[illegible] 1877 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No. 4457
DESPATCHED

[illegible]

[illegible]

5

he undertakes to rebuild the tank and resume cultivation under it.

9. The enclosures to the memo under reply are herewith returned.

× Except currency notes.—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. servt.

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

R.B.R.

From Deputy Commissioner
Lingtui District

(80)

To

Secretary to the Chief Commissioner,
Revenue ~~General~~ Department

Dated 19.
Despatched 20. 3 March 1880
Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No.
No. 311 } 1879—80

Secretary's memo No 3444/422
October last.

Reports on the
in presented by
mirzar of Kroyaw
x, Kadaba Zalook.

22-3-80

To Rev Secy

To

The Deputy Commrs.
Tumkur Dt

Dated 9 August
Dr pl 11
Reg'd 13th

No 1915
P. 212

Encls: 1 Petition with its enclos
2 habeas of C. notice as within

The Dy. Comr.
Tumkur Dist.

Dated 11th August/79
Petn
Ev. No. 2 Kelly
Cumming
C No. 1962
1229

Forwards for disposal Petn. No. 1056
9/10/79

No. 1962
P. 224

Dated 11th August 1879

No. 1915
P. 212

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

L. R. 53-77-9

(9)

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 1055, dated 10 July 1879 received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Ramiengar of Mayasamudra of Hadaba Taluq, in the Tumkur District — is ~~forwarded~~ referred, together with its 3 Three enclosures to the Deputy Commr. of Tumkur Distt for disposal by endorsement. The two half currency notes nos (x) enclosed are also forwarded to the Deputy Commr. —

BANGALORE, 9th August ~~July~~ 1879.

"By Order,"

x nos 2/56. 59094 - Rs 10/-
" 02 43643. Rs 10/-

Revenue Secretary.

No. 1962
P. 224

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

L. R. 53-77-10.

(10)

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 1056, dated 10 July 1879 received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Rama Iyengar of the Mayasamudra village in the Hadaba Taluq of Tumkur District is ~~forwarded~~ referred, together with its 1 one enclosure ~~to the~~ for disposal the Deputy Commr. & from the half currency note no 2/56 - 62890 for Rs 10, enclosed, is also forwarded

BANGALORE, Dated 11th August ~~July~~ 1879.

"By Order,"

Revenue Secretary.

B 89324

J 74329

16 July 79

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

PHONE NO : 254465

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
KARNATAKA STATE ARCHIVES
DEPARTMENT
ROOM NO. 11, GROUND FLOOR
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BANGALORE-1

DATED .....

ਸਾਹਿਬ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁਤਰ ਮਾਲਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਕਾਸ਼ਤ ਕਰਦਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੇ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ
ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ ਵਾਰ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨੰਬਰ

23.6.79

DESPATCHED

64

[illegible]

[illegible] Rs 67-10-0 [illegible] 67 Rs-10-0

[illegible] Rs 136-4-0 [illegible]

[illegible] 50 Rs

[illegible]


ELEVATION.
Outlet.
Breach.
Breach.
Bund.
WATER LEVEL.
Bund.
Bund.
Breach.
BED
BED
12
23.45
12½
22.80
12½
22.40
11½
23.50
11
25.85
7½
26.35
6½
26.15
6½
25.05
7½
25.65
6½
25.45
8
25.35
7
22.85
8½
20.75
6½
20.50
20
22.70
170
0
200

Scale

ರಾಮೈಯ್ಯರಂಗಾರಭೂಮಿ.
ಬಿಂಮೇನಹಳ್ಳಿ.
ಕೂಂಚಿನಕೆಪ್ಪೆ
ಮೇ ಹಳ್ಳಿ
ಕೂಂಡಿನಾಗಸಂದ್ರ.
ಸಿಂಮರುಮಾಯಸಾಂದ್ರ
ತಾಲೂಕ್ಕಕಡಬು.



1915/212 29-8-29

1962/224 9-11-29

Forward to the Revenue for disposal or report if necessary. Forwards the currency notes for 70 Rs

(90) 1879.80 (12)

No. 1642. L.R. 53-29-12

Name of Petitioner. Ramiengar

Village. Mayesamudra

Taluk. Kadaba

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 20. August 29

Date of Receipt. 23. do

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 2. (with 2 currency ~~notes of 70 Rs value~~ seventy)

ABSTRACT.

States that the sarvar tank which is situated little above the Petri tank breaches and its water rushed into the Petri tank which was then full; consequently the Petri tank which he had constructed at a great cost also breached at a heavy loss to him. He then submitted petitions requesting that his tank might be repaired for the

above reasons (71) at the Govt. expense, and that remission of assessment allowed him till then - Finally an Endorsement No. 344 was given him by the Dn Commr., agreeably to the Chief Commr. orders, that as the waste weir of the tank in question, had been raised, the tank breached by the large current of surplus water from the sarcar tank & that the remission of 1/4th of assessment, as recommended by the Dy Commr., was enough - The petr says that he had at the advice of the District Surveyor strongly repaired the tank - The waste weir of the tank has never been raised or lowered ever since the lands belg. to it were given to him for cultivation - The report made by the Amildar at the instance of the people & without inspecting the tank, has been upheld by the higher authorities - The bund of the tank is about 6 or 7 feet above the level of the waste weir of the tank - Begs that on a kind consideration of the losses sustained by him the tank in question may be ordered to be repaired the Govt. cost - or proper compensation paid to him - He further adds that remission of assessment on his lands for the [redacted] nine 2 years was granted but the condagams for the [redacted] years Ishwara & Bohundanya

(17)

31

have been urgently de=
=manded. His lands
have become unfit even
for dry cultivation owing
to the flow of a large body
of water over his lands
when the tank breached
The petr is therefore unabl
to pay assessment on such
a barren land. He has
herewith submitted a plan
of his land for permanent
together with 2 pieces of
currency notes of Rs 70/- a
the deposit amount for the
Condemns of the said 2
Years. Begs that on a
kind investigation into
the records of his case the
Condemns in question may
be remitted & his currency
notes returned to him.

Manual

P.T.

To

The Dy. Commissioner
Lumkur District

Dated 4th October 17

No. 3444
P. 422

Forwarding for
disposal or repairs
if necessary. Petn.
No. 1642 dy 20/8/79

AC

No. 3444
P. 422

Encls. { 1 Petn
2 Statement
2 Half currency notes

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

L.Q. 53-77-13.

(13)

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 1642, dated 20th August 1879, received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Ramiah Gar of Kaigesumar Kadaba Taluk,

is forwarded, together with its ~~no of enclosures~~ enclosures to the Mysore Commr of the Tumkur Dt for disposal or report if necessary

BANGALORE, 4th Octr 1879.

X No 89324 Half currency note of Rs 50 —
No 79329 Half currency note of Rs 20.

"By Order,"

For Secy. Secretary

Tumkur District (73)

No 311/3 34 Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur 19th March 1880.

L.R. 53-77 - 14 (14)

To

The Secretary to the

Chief Commissioner of Mysore

Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your Official Inc No 3444/422 dated 4th October last forwarding for disposal or report if necessary, a petition from Ramiah of Moyasandra of the Kadaba Taluk complaining that the tank of Bommenahally built at his own cost was breached by the bursting of a Government tank of Honakeré Village and therefore praying that the tank may be put in order by Government and that the assessment due on land below it for the years Yiswara (1877-78) and Bahoodhanya (1878-79) may be remitted.

2. In reply I have the honor to

to submit that the tank of Bommenahally was built by the petitioner at his own cost and the lands under it, about 25 Kandies were rented to him Rs. 2.6* per wet Kindie.

* This is the maximum dry rate prevailing in the Village.

3. In the year Yuva (1875-76) the tank breached and the petitioner having claimed its restoration by Government and the remission of assessment urging as a reason therefor that the tank was damaged owing to the breach of a Government tank above it, Colonel Pearse the Commissioner of the late Mundydroog Division ordered that the assessment should be remitted pending the petitioner rebuilding the Tank, but the Chief Commissioner in his Proceedings No $\frac{1803}{110}$ dated 25th August 1877 granted a remission of only one fourth of the assessment due for Yuva, observing that the breaching of the tank was the result of faulty construction.

namely

namely the unduly high level of the waste weir.

4. A question having risen as regards the assessment for Dhata (1876-77) the Commissioner on 27th April 1877 passed the following order..

"A fixed period of time should "be allowed the petitioner within "which he should restore the tank "during the interval he cannot be "called on to pay Kundayam, but "a fair time having been allowed "if he fails by the period named "to restore the tank, he can be "called on to pay Kundayam."

A period of 3 months was accordingly allowed to the petitioner to restore the tank, but he failed to comply with it and now prays that the tank must either be restored by Government or he be paid compensation for the loss of the tank and that the assessment hitherto due should be remitted.

5. 37 During the jamabandi settlement of the Kadaba Taluk I inspected the petitioner's tank and the one above it, and the lands in the bed of and below the former. Judging from the nature of the damage sustained by both the tanks, I cannot believe that the breaching of the Petitioner's tank was due wholly to the bursting of the Government tank above it. The waste weir of the former was not sufficiently liberal and it was besides in a faulty position being too far from the main feeder. The drainage area was large and the tank used to fill rapidly. But the tank had been strongly built and remained uninjured for a period of 7 or 8 years from 1867-68 to 1875-76. Admitting that the bursting of the Government tank contributed in some measure to the breaching of the Petitioner's

tank

tank I am still of opinion that on this account he is entitled to no compensation from Government. When building his tank under an existing Government tank so as to secure to the former the waste waters of the latter, the Petitioner must have foreseen the not unusual contingency of a breach of the latter and must accordingly have made his own tank sufficiently strong to withstand such a contingency. This in my opinion he failed to do and I think that on principle he is not entitled to the compensation he claims.

6. But Petitioner's tank was useful not only to himself and for the cultivation of his lands but it was a great boon to the ryots and Cattle of Bommanahally and surrounding Villages whose water supply is very defective even in ordinary years. I would accordingly recommend that he may

may be given some advance under the Land Improvement Act, but upon this proposal I shall hereafter report when the Petitioner offers adequate security.

7. In the meantime I beg to recommend the remission of the arrears now due upon the lands under the tank for the years 1877-78, 1878-79 and 1879-80 amounting to Rupees 203-- 6 as shown in the margin. I make this recommendation because the lands in question have always lain waste and in their present condition, with the silt and debris of the breached tank upon them, they are not capable of being cultivated. Even dry cultivation is for the most part impossible owing to the lands being under water during the rains.

Vijiyara 1877-78	67-10-10
Bahuthanya 1878-79	67-10-10
Pramadi 1879-80	67-10-10
	203-- 6

8. The bed is cultivated free and the Petitioner may be allowed to enjoy the concession till the end of 1880-81, by which time

C.O. 5268

187 8

From Chief Commissioner Andaman Islands

To L.R. 53-77-87

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

(5)

Dated 15th February 1878

Despatched

Received 18th

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No. 463 } 187 7—78.
No.

With reference to the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 1803 of the 25th ult. I have the honor to forward herewith for the Chief Commissioner's consideration a revised commission bill for Mr. W. C. of the Panchayat of Havelock

Secretary
Chief Commissioner

To Shifter

16-2-78

Please

Indexed

Thus the No 1803 of 25 ult. last — 110 the recommendation may be sanctioned

Sanctioned. 20/2/78

23.2.

Copy by 22/2

Dufas

Detailed statement of irrecoverable balance of Revenue of

Number	Taluk	Hobli	Village	On what account	No	Name of defaulter	Balance of each year				Total	
							Year	Amount				
1	Harihara Taluk	Nyamathi	Bommainahalli	Land Revenue	1	Ramasingar	"Uvah" 1875-76	16	14	8	16	1

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur Distt

Tumkur 11th February/78

the Tumkur District for the year 1876 77.

	Explanation of Deputy Commissioner	Opinion of Commissioner	Resolution of Chief Commissioner
8	Under orders of the Chief Commissioner conveyed in the Proceeding No. 1803/110 of the 25th August 1877 a remission of 1/4 assessment on the lands belonging to the Defaulter is recommended for sanction		

Offg Commissioner

Offg Deputy Commissioner

L.A. 53-77-6 (6) (58)

Acc accounts

Pro: of the C. Comr. of Mysore

Read Docket from the Comr. of the Nandidrug Dn. No. 243 of 15 Feby/78. submitting for sanction a revised remission ~~Bill~~ Statement for Rs: 16-14-8, being ¼ assessment due on ~~the~~ certain lands belonging to one Ramienger of Kadaba Taluk, Tumkur Dn.

No 3744/309 of 27th Feby/78

Order thereon.

Sanctioned.

Asst Secy.

26.

To The Comr. of Nandidrug
" Accounts Genl.

1878

To The Commr. of Hundy & Droog
" Dy. Actt. General.

D/ 27th
Desp. 28th February

No. 3744
RM 309.

Sanctioning remission of Rupees 16-14-8 being the 1/4 assessment due on certain lands belonging to one Ramin-gar of Hadaly Talook.

G. Indexed
G.K.

Reg.

1879/160 (7)

No. 1055. L. A. 5-3-77.

Name of Petitioner. Ramingar

Village. Wayisnivoodi

Taluk. Kadak

District. Tanjore D.

Date of Arzi. 10-7-79

Date of Receipt. 12-7-79

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two & copy

ABSTRACT.

States that his lands were sold at a very heavy cost but the village authorities did not give him proper supply of water. The crops therefore failed. A sum of Rs 21-7-8 is due on account of arrears of revenue for the past 3 years. Unable in payment of this he begs to submit a currency note (in halves) of the value of 20 Rs. He begs to know who

Return the Currency note and inform Petitioner that he should pay the money to the Taluk, in satisfaction of any arrears which may be due by him. ~~Petitioner should submit additional copies of the orders of the appellate authority against~~ — H

28/7

Referred to the Deputy Commr for disposal & endeavour to help not enclosed is also forwarded to the Deputy Commr ~~for return to Petitioner~~

3/7

(6)

is responsible for the loss of crops occasioned by the deficient supply of water and against whom he should file a suit for damages.

Bloomington Nov 1/7

206

47 | 1879 | 1879/80.

No. 1056 R.R. 77 (8)

Name of Petitioner. Ramaiyar

Village. Kayisamudram

Taluk. Hadab

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 10-7-79

Date of Receipt. 12-7-79

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. one.

~~Referred to~~

Referred ~~to be~~ for disposal to the Deff Commr. Tumkur. The Deff. note for Rs 10. enclosed is also forwarded

ABSTRACT.

States that owing to the effects of famine he was not able to raise any crops. He owes a balance of Rs 9-0-6 on account of Candyan for the last year and for which he submits a Currency (in half) note of Rs 10. He says that the assessment due by him for the 8 years Fasli and Dhali may be remitted.

P.P.

H Freeman Hon

that he bunded up the tank, so that on the breaching of the tank above, it necessarily breached, the C. Comr. thinks that, as recommended by the Dy Comr., a remission of 1/4 Assessment is ample. The Comr. is requested to submit a revised Bill for sanction

2. The Proceedings are herewith returned—

RM 21/8
Asst. Secy:

To
The Comr. of Kanara

Rev: accts:

49

(555)

To

The Commissioner
Nandyarooy Div.

Dated 25th August 1877

Encls: V. Proceedings

Gl No. 1803
Rec. 110

Retain the claim Lo 243 of 15 January 1878.

Passing orders that a remission of 1/4 Assessment in the case of one Ramiengar of Kadalur is ample and requesting submission of a revised Bill for sanction.

Indexed
12/11/77

No. 1380.

ENDORSEMENT.

(2D)

L.Q. 53-77-78.

In reply to his petition, dated 22nd Sept 80

Kaminfar of Krishnendra, Kadab

Lalik is informed that

sufficient consideration has already been shown to him by the remission of his share of the assessment due by him for the years 1877-78, 1878-79, 1879-80 and 1880-81 and his request for further concession cannot be complied with. 2. Two half current bills No. 8/54 8850 & 2/5 99 [illegible] for Rs [illegible] each are herewith returned.

"By Order,"

MYSORE CHIEF COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
GENERAL DEPARTMENT,
26th Sept 1880.

Draft

Sec: Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore—8-10-79.—600.

15

1880

No 1380.

5 August/80 -

Necessary for approval







he would continue to pay 1881-82. The petitioner has statement agreeing to the new instalments half of his Cantonment amount. The petitioner is not contented with the indulgence shown to him in the shape of remission of half the amount of arrears and therefore pray that the Honble be directed to sanction entire remission and avoid further proceedings being taken by the Deputy Commr for the recovery of Govt due. He also requests that a sum of Rs 1500 may be sanctioned as Tuccavi.

the full Cantonment from accordingly furnished a deposit the entire amount note for Rs 40 on account being Rs 78-8-0. The

197

(General Serial No. 32.)

188 a

(94 Neb)

(19)

No. 1/38 S.L.Q. 53-77-1

Name of Petitioner. Ramayya

Village. Mayisandra

Taluk. Hadab

District. Shimoga

Date of Arzi. 22. 7. 80.

Date of Receipt. 27. 7. 80

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. one copy

ABSTRACT.

With reference to his petition of the 27th 21st August ult to this office on the subject of the heavy loss sustained by the petitioner consequent on the breach of the tank built at his own cost, he is to state that the Commr has issued an endorsement informing the petitioner that half of the arrears due by him for the last four years will be remitted provided

his Petition is not stamped 2 halves of currency notes for Rs 40 are enclosed in payment of the Kandayam for the year 1880-81 Rs 20.

Please see orders passed in Proceedings No 205 dated 13th April 1880 in accordance with which the Dy Comr has disposed of the petitioner's complaint.

Endorsement.

Petitioner is informed that his application has already been shown to have been remitted half of the assessment due by him for the years 1878-79 - 1879-80 & 1880-81, and his request for further concessions cannot be entertained. The 2 halves currency notes for Rs 20 are herewith returned.

28

R

(93)

the tank free of tax, seems untenable, and is further opposed to the orders issued in 1877 on the subject — The Chief Comr will not object to the remission of one half of the assessment for the above years, as well as for 1880-81, on the distinct understanding that the full assessment is to be enforced thereafter.

To The Dy. Comr
Tumkur Dt

Dated 13th April 1880

No: 205
P. 8

Left for affl

Received 3 May 1880

205

Rev Secty

To. The Dy. Comr.
Tumkur Dt

25

Read:— 17 (92)

L.R. 53-77-1

Letter from the Dy. Comr. of the Tumkur Dt., No. 311 of the 19th March 1880, reporting on a petition from Ramiengar of Mayasandra in the Kadaba Taluk, complaining that the tank of Bommenahally built at his own cost was breached by the bursting of a Govt. Tank above and therefore praying that the tank may be put in order by Govt. and that the assessment due on lands below it for 1877-78 & 1878-79 may be remitted

No. 205 / P. 80 d/13-4-80 Order thereon.

The Petitioner is clearly not entitled to any compensation for the breach of his tank, though some indulgent treatment maybe desirable under the circumstances of the case. The Deputy Comr's proposal however to remit the whole of the assessment for 1877-78, 1878-79 and 1879-80, besides allowing the Petitioner to cultivate the bed of

(General Serial No. 32.) 1880.

No. 4457 R. 53-77-

Name of Petitioner. Kanisafar

Village. Yagasandra

Taluk. Kadur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 22. 3. 80.

Date of Receipt. 22. 3. 80.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Six

ABSTRACT.

Solicits remission of Candayam due for the famine years of Shah & Eswara and the release of the grain which is attached.

P.S.

The Mysore Govt. states that the orders passed at the famine time cannot be disturbed.

Vide Govt. No. 1350 of 1880 file

60 4 (1

(1) L.R. 5-3-77

Proceedings of the Chief Comr. of Mysore, Department of Revenue, Agriculture and Commerce,

3rd October 1874.

From the Survey and Inam Comr., Mysore to the Offg. Secretary to the Chief Comr. of Mysore, General Department, No. 1384, dated Poona 7th September 1874.

By order of Chief Comr., No. 4712-67 of the 19th November last, the resumption of arrears of inams granted for the up-keep of Chatrams under the terms of the Comr.'s notification of 1846 was directed.

2. In proceeding to carry out the orders referred to, a doubt has arisen

~~areas~~ given, in order to clear away which detailed orders are required.

3. The amount of assessment of all inams granted for the above purposes is stated in the original grant. I propose to confirm an extent of land bearing a similar survey assessment plus 10 per cent and to bring the surplus under assessment.

4. But in case any inam was originally, when granted, dry crop land, and has been by sinking wells or other distinct improvements at the expense of the inamdar converted into wet land, the value of the inam shall be

be calculated on the ~~[illegible]~~ dry crop assessment alone.

5. When the Survey assessment of the land now actually held as in an is less than the value named in the original grant, the deficiency will not be made good.

6. The above provisions will, I think, ~~[illegible]~~ meet every case fairly, and I beg to suggest them for the consideration of the Ch. Comr.

No. 4831. Rev. 316. dated Bangalore 3rd October 1871.

Order thereon: -

The additional rules suggested in paras 4 and 5 of the foregoing letter to supplement the ruling laid down in this

Office

(4)

63

Office Procedendo No. 4712 - R 67,
dated 19th November 1873, for the
guidance of the Survey Department
in dealing with the accounts discussed
in in arms granted for the up keep
of Chitrams, Nos, under the terms
of the Commd Notification of 1874,
met with the Chief Commd's approval,
and case accordingly be adopted.

Sd/ S.H. Hellesley.
Offg Secretary

"True Copy"
S.H. Hellesley

Engr General Records

64

Income for order —
No. 260
order written
18 8-77

Kenny Ney (NO)

No 260 68

Memo (5

L. R. 5-3-77

No 32 of 14 May 1877

In May 1877 the Commr. of Nandidroog submitted for the Chief Commr.'s sanction a statement of Remission of Land Revenue amounting to Rs 67.10.10 for the year 1875-76 in behalf of one Ramiengar of Hababa on the ground that the crops raised by him under the Bommenahalli Tank (constructed by him) were washed away by the bund of the tank having given way in consequence of the excessive water which it received from a Sarkar tank.

2 In this Office docket No. 2002/24 of the 24th May 18 was observed that a return [illegible] March last. the [illegible] ing balance [illegible]

-10-10. In calling
explanation of the
ney, the Commr.
sired to submit
roceedings in the case.
The Commr. submits the
eedings in the case and,
regards the discrepancy
oticed, he states that from
he Sunkur Dy. Commrs.
Rubkari No. 15 of 23rd May it
would appear that the amt.
of balance of Land Revenue
in the Tadaba Taluk for
1875-76 was wrongly shewn
in the accounts under
Mohatarfa and that it
will now be necessary that
the amount in question
viz. Rs 67-12-10 recommended
remitted under Land
to be shewn
Wn the balance should be struck
under Moha
From

This was only discovered
after explanation had
been called for from
this Office - wherein
the Commission is recommended
the accounts might
be properly looked
into in the Dept
Office.

RP

67

recommended under
following circumstances.

5 In March 1876 the said
Ramengowda of Kaba
applied to the Assistant
Comm^r (Jamabandi Officer)
of the Tumkur District
for remission of Kandayam
due by him on the lands
below the Bommenahalli
Tank of Kaddaba Hobali
on the ~~following~~ grounds
that the said tank breached
& the crops below it were
washed away through the
rush of water from the
Sarkar [illegible] tank
[illegible]

that until the dam was restored, the Tandayam ~~should~~ be remitted. He further added that he was prevented by the Shekdar from sowing Bengal gram in the bed of the tank.

6 The Petitioner was granted an endorsement on the 3rd May 1876 by the Dy. Commissioner of Tumkur to the effect that his request could not be granted, as the Bommenahalli tank breached, owing to no repairs having been executed to it by the Petitioner in the previous year and owing ~~also~~ to the dam thrown across the Hodi at the North end of the tank ~~having been raised by him to the Reservoir~~

This Endorsement is based on the report made & submitted by the Talook Tahsildar

69

8 The Peshkar of Kadaba on a personal inspection of the tank, with petitioner reported that the tank in question breached partly under the circumstances stated in para 6 & partly in consequence of the rush of the water of the Sarkar tank some 2 miles above it, that the Bommenahalli tank was quite full, when the Sarkar tank breached.

The Peshkar further reported that there was nothing to prove that the Shekdar prevented the petitioner from sowing Bengal gram in the bed of the tank.

No 152 of 11 August 76 to the Dy Comr. 9 The Tahsildar (Alli Makha Khan) in transmitting the above report added that, on personal inspection, he found that the dam in question was constructed some 5 or 6 years back.

The

(10

10. The Dy Commrs having found some differences in the statements as regards the breaching of the tank in question referred the matter to the Amildar (Bhim Rao) of the taluk for further report as to the real facts of the case.

No 287 of 16 Sep or 1876

70

11 The Amildar in reply stated that the dam in question (which had been originally constructed) was raised (3 or 4 years ago) by Kadu gallu (Rubble stone) about 7/16 of a yard below the level of the bund, that owing to the heavy rains which fell during the season many large tanks breached and that the tank in question might have likewise breached from the large quantity of water rushing from the sloping plains just above it & from the Sarkar tank referred to.

No 472 of 2nd Dec 76 to the Dy Comr

12 The Dy Commr in

No 3812 of 9 March 77 to the Comr

his Rubkari recommends that under the foregoing circumstances the remission of 1/4 Kandayam may be sanctioned.

Vide Memo of 31st March 77

13 The Commissioner decided that the Kandayam should be remitted pending the reconstruction of the tank by petitioner.

14 I think the petn Ramanjan was not entitled to the full remission recommended by the Comr. The damage to the tank was caused partly by heavy rains and partly by reason of its not having been kept in proper repair. It will further appear that the dam thrown across the Kodi also intended to the

(12

82

breach. Under
these circumstances
I think the remission
of 1/4 or at least
1/2 Rs. ought rather
than what the peti-
tioner fairly entitled to.

R. P. Thornton C.J.

16/8/77

Allow

It is not quite clear
how far the allegation against
Ramanujan is true that he
hindered up the tank, so
as to be breaching of
the tank itself, is necessary
breaches. As recommended
by the Dep. Comr. however
the assessment might
be remitted by 1/8

approved & so ordered

18-8-77

Drafted

Memo in continuation of No 260 of (13

18-.8-1877.

On August 1877 Ramiah of Konganadoddy complained to this office that the tank of Bonnenkally tank at his own cost was breached by the breaking of a Govt. Tank of Bonakere village and prayed that the tank might be put in order by Govt. and that the assessment due on the lands below it for the years 1877/78 and 1878/79 might be remitted.

The foregoing petition having been referred to the Commr of Sunkur for disposal or report if necessary Mr K. Sheshadri Iyer in reporting the circumstances which led to the breach of the tank is stated

in the previous Memo (14 No.) brought to notice that the Petitioner had not repaired the tank, though he was allowed 3 months' time for it by the late Commr. of the Nandidrug Div.; that when he (Dy Com.) inspected the tank in question as well as the Govt. tank above it he found that the injury sustained by the Petitioner's tank was not wholly due to the bursting of Govt. tank above rather than to defective construction of waste weir. Admitting that the bursting of Govt. tank partially contributed to the breaching of the Petitioner's tank, the Dy Commr. was of opinion that on this account he (Petr) was not entitled to any compensation from Govt., for when

74

when building his tank under an existing Govt. tank so as to secure to the former the waste water of the latter, the Petitioner must have foreseen the not unusual contingency of a breach of the latter and must accordingly have made his own tank sufficiently strong to withstand such a contingency — The Officers, in consideration of Petitioner's lands having been low waste during the years Sawara, Bahoodaya & Ramathy on account of the debris and silt of the breached tank, recommended that a remission of assessment viz Rs 203-4-6 at the rate of Rs 67-10-10 a year might be sanctioned and that he might also be permitted to cultivate the bed of

the bed of the tank free till the end of 1880/81 by which time he would undertake to rebuild the tank and resume cultivation under it.

The Chief Comm. in his No. 205/8 of 13th April/80 did not entertain the Commr's proposal as regards the remission of full assessment for 3 years, with allowance to cultivate the bed of the tank free of tax but sanctioned the remission of one half of the assessment for the years 1877/78, 1878-79, 1879/80, and 1880/81, on the distinct understanding that the full assessment was to be enforced thereafter.

The Commr. now reports, with reference to Ramiah's appeal to this office, complaining of vexatious attachment of

his property, though he had paid up the arrears, that Petitioner's statement regarding the payment of arrears is totally false that the amount due by him is Rs 306-9-9 as shewn in the margin and that he is trying to evade Govt. dues under frivolous excuses.

Dhoti	Rs -	a -	P
Cowrie	8 —	" —	1
Kowrie	" —	15 -	7
Bahoodrigi	59 -	7 -	"
Ramaiah	34 -	2 -	3
Vikramen	102 -	" -	5
Vishoo	102 -	" -	5
Total	306 -	9 -	9

Mr. Bobbar also brings to notice the cultivation of the bed of the tank by the Petitioner in violation of the previous orders on the subject and his non-payment of assessment on that account, amounting to Rs 132-13-"

S. Sreenivas Rao

28

Memo

77.

also Petn: 04-11-87

On 13th November 1885 Kadak Ramiengar of Mayasandra petitioned the Dewan complaining against the resumption of his land no. 150 below Mayasandra tank. It would appear that the petitioner obtained this land on Dharkast about the year 1878 leaving sufficient margin adjacent to the tank and along the tank bund; that he spent Rs 450 for the improvement of it, had been paying bunjar condayem for 6 years at Rs 18-14-0 per ~~annum~~ annum since the Shanbogue & Shekdar of the village in collusion prevented his lands being irrigated from Mayasandra tank, that in 1880 he raised raggi crop on it and ~~paid~~ paying half condayem

applied for the remission of the remaining half, that before the disposal of his application the Talug & village authority attached his land & the produce for the recovery of the remaining portion of the Condanyen, that when Mayasundra Tank breached earth was excavated from his land & which was thereby rendered unfit for cultivation, that he had planted it with 600 plantain trees, and that the land was finally removed from his Kathe. The petitioner prayed that either the land might be restored to him with the cost of filling in the pits or in or he be compensated for the losses incurred by him amounting to Rs 600 + Rs 160 the cost of the grain so attached & not returned to him.

Jl

80

No. 22 d 16.4.87

The petitioner also stated that he had not executed a muchilika to give up the land whenever required by Govt. The Deputy Commissioner submitted the Progs. of the Anikadu Bhenna Row d 4 October 1875 and the Dewan found that the petitioner had signed them in token of his approval and with the observation that the land should not have been given out for cultivation but always reserved for the service of the tank

Endt. No. 2605. d 17.5.87.

declined to interfere with the Dy Commrs' order excluding the land from the petitioner's Patta.

Endt. No. 2247 d 23.11.87.

The petitioner's further petition was rejected

On the face of these orders the petitioner again ~~repeats~~ urges his case for reconsideration

S.R.R.
19.6.90.

21

Claim of Ramingar for
Land No. 150 resumed.

Memo for order.

The representation
has been fully consid-
-ered & the contention
repeated. She entertained
no answer

File

8

Vishnu Petr. claims (under the standing orders on the subject) remission of Rs. 34. 13. 10, being ½ of ~~the~~ assessment on wet lands which lay waste in that year — If the facts alleged are true and Petr. is fairly entitled to the remission asked for the amount will be deducted from the balance due by Petr.

3. The Survey Dept have assessed the wet lands under the Bommenhalli tank at Rs. 2. 14. per acre — Petr says that the rate for the village — namely Rs. 1. 3. 0 may be adopted for wet lands. He has ~~wet~~ lands assessed

wet	27 ac. 33 g.	=	80. 0. 0 (33.4.
Dry	9. 8 (at 12 ans)	=	6. 12. 0
		Total	86. 12. 0

This appears to be reasonable & may accordingly be complied with.

Govt order 10th Nov 1886

Ccs order April 1880.

82

~~[illegible]~~

~~No. 150. [illegible]~~
~~Magarpand in Taluk~~

Survey No. 150 land under Magarpand in. was forfeited to Govt ~~[illegible]~~ owing to failure of payment of land Revenue thereon — used for Tank

88 · 15 · 1

No. 1[illegible]
25
9

[illegible] for D. . . . . 14 . 3 . 9

Dem. for 1882/83 & 1883/84 . . . 154 . 5 - 6

Total for Nomenclatures Laws . 168 . 9 - 3

Magistrates Laws . 121 . 12 - 2 .
up to 1 month

Paid 6 March 1880 120 . 0 . 0
25 July 1880 1 12 . 2

Vikram & Swathy } 73 . 11 . 2 .
Schools inspection }

~~Paid~~

Paid Feb 1883 . . . 10

Feb 1884 40 50 . 0 - .

~~Balance . . . 15 . 11 . 3 .~~

Petty accounts.

[illegible]

Bommenhalli payments.

75 - 14 - 8
40 - 4 - 0
34 - 13 - 10
34 - 13 - 10
30 - 0 - 0

Bommenhalli 189 - 3 - 4
Mugalwadi 32 - 1 - 0
221 - 4 - 4
~~34 - 13 - 10~~
~~18 - 0 - 0~~

192 - 4 - 6
~~[illegible]~~

221 - 4 - 4
192 - 4 - 6
28 - 15 - 10
2 - 12 - 1
31 - 11 - 11

Magasandra lands.

No. 150. (wet & garden)	Area, including local fund: Rs 18. 10. 0	No. 150 & 25 – Patta in Petr's name.
No. 25 wet	: 5. 10. 3	No. 177 Patta in name of Rangi, tho' Petr brought it in 1860.
~~[illegible]~~ Bandi field	: 15. . . .	
No. 177		

No. 107. wet . . . .
Does not belong to Petr but to Rangi

No. 177

Balance on Magasandra lands 23. 11. 3.

Mi lands :- 205. 1. 4
27. 2. 3
Total assessment up to end of 1879/80 – 232. 3. 7

1880. Pattadi	75. 14. 8	
— Petr paid	40. 4. 0	
Tenjso Petr paid.	13. 8. 0	
	129. 10. 8	129. 10. 8
		102. 8. 11
Carried forward for 1880/81, & 1881/82 ..		160. 12. 4
Total Demand ..		263. 5. 3
Remission 137. 6. 6.		249. 1. 6
Pay^t ... 111. 11. 0		14. 3. 9
249. 1. 6		

Balance end 1881/82.

86

Offl. Memo: (24)

The accompanying Petition No. 1183 of 21st August 1890 received from N. Lanisiengar of Mayasandra, Turuvekere Range, Tiptur Taluk, is forwarded with its encls: to the Dy: Commr, Tumkur Dist.

Stats marked A, C, E & J

2. The Dy: Commr is requested to examine Petr's claim for Compensation and settle it or report if necessary

* Stat A.

3. This stat is forwarded for report with reference to the following queries: — Is not the Petr entitled under the general orders contained in Proc. No. 9772-214 of 5/3/90 to a remission of 1/2 assessment on lands classed and assessed as wet while the lands are waste or cultivated with dry crops only till the tank is restored or to levy of dry assessment only? Is Petr still in the enjoyment of any concession for leasing

Stat C.

having constructed the Tank at his own Cost?. What is the nature and value of that Concession?.

82

4. These statements are forwarded for submission of a report on the different points therein.

* stat E & J

By order

Chief Secy:

Submitted per C. Secty's order:

AR

9.9

3 Draft

Only 2 are put up & they are not according to orders - I have put up 7 drafts for issue (including Estey of Smd & Hy Co)

Otto Francke

89

Precis of the file in re the Claim of Kadah Ramiengar of Mega-lemora for Remission

L.R. 53-77 (26

90

Petition dated 1 November 1889 from Ramingar of Mayasamudra village Tiptur Taluq -

No. R. 30

On the 14th May 1877 the Commissioner of the Nandidurg Division submitted for the Chief Commissioner's sanction a statement of remission amounting to Rs 67-10-10 due by the petitioner the crops on whose lands had been washed away by the breach of his private tank at Bommenhally caused by the breach of a Sircar tank above it. The grant of this remission by the Commr and his recommendation for writing off the whole amount was in reversion of the orders of the Dy. Commr whose recommendation was only for a remission of ~~the~~ 1/4 of the whole amount. The Chief Commissioner on a perusal of the record submitted, observed

For 1875-76

Pro: No. 1803/110 d 25.8.77

that the damage to the Petitioner's private tank was caused partly by heavy rains and partly by reason of petitioner's neglect to keep it in repair, upheld the views of the Deputy Commissioner and sanctioned the remission of 1/4th of the assessment viz Rs 16-14-8 —

Pro: no. 3744/309 d 27.2.78

The petitioner was not satisfied with this, and on 20th August 1879 petitioned the Chief Commissioner, the ~~stated~~ effect that his tank breached by the breach of the Govt. tank above it, that he had strongly repaired his tank at the advice of the District Surveyor, that the wastewier had never since been lowered or raised that the amildar's ~~tank~~ report made without personal inspection of the tank was wrong, and prayed that his tank might be put in order by Govt. and that the assessment due on the

d^n
(1877-78 & 1878-79)

the lands below it for the years Iswara & Bahudanya might be ~~xxx~~ remitted.

On this petition the Dy. Commr (Mr. Sheshadri Iyer) reported to the effect that the petitioner had been allowed 3 months time for the repair of the tank by, that far from executing it, however, the petitioner prayed the restoration of the tank by the Govt. itself or compensation ~~to~~ in lieu for the loss sustained by him, that he ~~the~~ pr (Dy Commr) personally inspected the petitioner's tank, the one above it and the land in the bed of and below the former but could not see that the bursting of the petitioner's tank was due wholly to the bursting of the Govt. tank above it, that the waste weir of the former was neither sufficiently liberal ~~on~~ nor in any but a faulty position being too far from the main feeder,

that

that even granting that the bursting of the Govt. tank contributed in some measure to that of the petitioners', he could not be of opinion that the petitioner was entitled to any compensation for the reason that when constructing his tank below the Govt. one so as to secure to the former all the waste waters of the latter, the petitioner ought to have anticipated a contingency such as the one in question and ~~made his tank~~ not an usual one, and made his tank capable of standing such a contingency. But the Dy. Commissioner at the same time recommended some advance to the petitioner under the Land Improvement act on the score of the usefulness of the petitioners' tank to the ryots and cattle of Bommenahally and surrounding villages

whose

2/ ac

whose water supply was very defective even in ordinary years. He also recommended remission of Condayem on the land below the tank as per margin* consequent on these having always lain waste in their then condition with the silt and debris of the breached tank upon them and incapable of being cultivated either for a wet or a dry crop. The report further added that the bed of the tank was cultivated free, and that it might be allowed till the end of 1880-81 by which time according to the same report the petitioner undertook to rebuild his tank and resume cultivation under it.

		R.a.P
* Yiswara	1877-78	67-10-10
Bahudanya	1878-79	67-10-10
Pramadi	1879-80	67-10-10
	Rs	203-0-6

Pro: No. 205/8) 13-4-80.

The Chief Commissioner observed that the petitioner was clearly not entitled for the breach of his tank to any compensation, but some indulgent treatment might be desirable inso

Re

the circumstances of the case, that the proposal to remit the whole of the assessment for the 3 years, ~~was~~ while allowing the petitioner to cultivate half of the land free as the same was opened down of 1877 ~~was~~ seemed untenable, but sanctioned the remission of one half of the assessment for 4 years including 1880-81 on the distinct understanding that the full assessment was to be enforced thereafter.

The petitioner ~~was~~ not content with this again petitioned the Chief Commr on 22nd July 1880 praying for full remission. But the Chief Commissioner declined to grant any further concession. Endorsement No. 1380 of 26.8.80

On 28th August 1880 the petitioner again ~~petitioned~~ petitioned the Chief Commissioner stating that the petitioner had ~~suffered~~ suffered a great loss by the state of his land, that he had no means for spending Rs1000/- required for the repair

46

repair of it, and ~~prayed~~ prayed that as he required 2 or 3 years for raising the requisite funds, a further remission of half Condayem for 4 years from Vishu 1882-83 might be granted, & the repair undertaken by Govt. as a Charity. The petitioner was referred to the previous endorsement No. 1380 d 26.8.80 declining to grant further concessions to those sanctioned in Progs. No. $\frac{205}{8}$ d 13.4.80.

On 24th January 1882 the petitioner again petitioned the Dewan Complaining ~~again~~ of vexatious attachment of his property though he had ~~up~~ paid up the arrears. The petition was referred to the Dy Commissioner for the submission of the record connected with the complaint.

No. $\frac{8452}{576}$ d 27.1.82

On this the Deputy Commissioner reported that

Camp No. 3 d 1-2-82

that the petitioner's statement regarding the ~~petty~~ payment of the arrears, and vexatious attachments by taluq authorities were totally false, that Rao convinced him of the propriety of yielding to the Chief Commrs' order no. 205 d 13-4-80, he refused to pay the arrears, that he did not send the counterparts of the half currency notes for Rs 100/- ~~with~~ which he forwarded with the object of putting off the demand to an indefenite period and to deceive the Govt. thereby, that the arrears due by him ~~was~~ Rs 306-9-9 as per margin[x], that for the reasons above cited and as the petitioner ~~he moveables~~ concealed his moveables & obstructed the sale of the land &c &c there was no use of waiting longer he (Dy Commr) orders the procedure

	R. a. P
Dhalu	8 — — 1
Eswara	" — 15 — 7
Bahudanya	59 — 7 — "
Pramadh	34 — 2 — 3
Vikrame	102 — " — 5
Vishu	102 — " — 5
Total	306 — 9 — 9

agh

3/

98

against the petitioner's property which comprised ~~the~~ nothing but waste lands & in consequence ~~received no rents~~ fetched nothing. The Diwan further brought to the notice of Govt. that the petitioner having cultivated the bed of his breached tank from 1875-6 was liable to pay an assessment at Rs 132-13-1 ~~for~~ (adjacent rate) per annum, ~~and also~~ pointing out at the same time that the rule that bed of private tanks ~~to~~ could be cultivated free by its owners during the season when it contained no water did not apply to the petitioner's case whose tank breached long before. On this an endorsement was given to the petitioner

No. 2905 D 10.2.82

5

to the effect that remission already granted were not conditional and would still be allowed, that attachment and attempted sale did not affect possession & rights to cultivate & that no further remission could be granted – a copy of the endorsement was also communicated to the Deputy Commissioner for information — No. $\frac{8831}{591}$ d 10.2.82

On the 14th March 1882 the petitioner again petitions the Dewan complaining of attachment of land and submitting as follows the accounts of Demand collection & balance as regards his lands were concerned –

	Rs
amount due to Gosh up to Vishu out of this deduct	306-9-9
Remission granted by the Ch: Commr as per Pro: No. $\frac{205}{5}$ of 13.4.80	137-7-6
amt paid by him into the Tr	111-11-–
	249-2-6
Balance	57-7-3

100

out of this amount Rs 57-7-3, the petitioner stated that Rs 1-14-9 was an incorrect demand against being the assessment on a land not belonging to him, and that remission was due to him for the remainder Rs 55-8-6 under Dewan's orders of August 81' in re remission on lands under breached tanks. viz

Rs 34-14-3 for waste lands under the breach tank of Koramangala.

20-10-3 - Do for lands under Magasandra tank.

55-8-6

No. 84 d 11-5-82

The Deputy Commissioner however in his report on this petition stated that the accounts submitted by the Petitioner did not tally with those of the Taluq, since the petitioner entered herein the condayam of a resumed land and omitted an item 1-14-9 due by

him on account of a field in his possession.

The accounts submitted by the Deputy Commissioner were as follows:-

Total demand agt. Petr up to date		Rs 306-9-9
Remission sanctd. by the Ch: Commr in April 1880	Rs 137-6-6	
amount paid up by Petitioner	111-11-0	
~~Balance due by Petr~~ Total		249-1-6
Balance agt. the Petitioner		57-8-3

The Deputy Commissioner also added that this amount comprised of two items viz

14-3-9 arrears of Conservancy on Bommanhalli land ~~lands~~ below the petr's private tank

43-4-6 Do on lands below Marasandra Sirear Tank

57.8-3

that the first item could not be remitted the lands being situated below the petr's private tank, and that half the latter amount could be remitted under the rules (Pro: $\frac{7573-80}{228}$ d 27.12.81).

This report was only filed in this office —

4/-

108

The petitioner then addressed 3 petitions to Mr Ramgacharlu on the 19th November 1882 complaining of heavy taxation of his lands, Excess Collection from him on account of arrears, attachment of his lands &c... These received no attention. On 11th March 1883 he again petitioned enclosing copies of the previous petitions referred to above. On this the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey was asked a report as to whether all the lands & under the Bommen-halli tank once restored by the petitioner at his own cost had been fully assessed, what extent of land was held by the petitioner under the said land & what extent by others, whether the Survey Dept took into consideration the fact of the petitioner having restored

No. 340 d/13 Augt. 1883.

the tank at his own cost.
The Survey Supt's opinion
was also sought as to
the advisability of
granting D petr. ~~a~~
a favorable rate of
3/4 of the full wet
assessment ~~tax~~ on his
lands under the tank in
the event of his again
restoring it

The Survey Supt. reported
that the petitioner held 41 acres
+ 21 guntas out of 70 acres
+ 10 guntas under the tank the whole being
assessed at Rs 118-4-0; that
at ~~the~~ in assessing no
consideration was given
to the fact of the petitioner
having restored the tank,
that remission of $\frac{1}{4}$ assessment
is given only when a tank
is in good order & proved
~~to be repaired~~ to be repaired
by a particular individual,
that dry rates could be
levied till the tank was
repaired, and that he
would not recommend
the grant of the favourable
terms of 3/4 rates should
the petitioner restore the
tank

104

as such a step would create an inconvenient precedent.

No. 357
dt 8.8.83.

At the same time the Dy Commissioner Tumkur District was asked to submit a statement of arrears due from the petitioner from the fasli year on a/c of his lands in Mayasandra and Bommenhalli villages & of Datewar payments and the balance due after deducting the amount of remission sanctioned by the Ch: Commr. – The Deputy

No. 401-4-84 –

Commissioner submitted a memorandum showing that up to March 1884 the amount due by the Petitioner was Rs 192-4-6 while the figure given by the petitioner was Rs 221-4-4 –

Pro: No. 3158-9/163
18.8.84

The ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan in declining to grant the petitioner's prayer i.e reduction of Kandayam from Rs 2-14-0 per acre to Rs 1-3-0, allowed him

an area equal to 2¼ candies held by him before the settlement on the same assessment viz Rs 65-10-0 in consideration of the expenses incurred by the petitioner in restoring the tank. An endorsement was also given to the petitioner to the same effect. This order was further confirmed on 19-4-86 DKt. No. 894/36 of 19.4.86. Survey Sect.

The Dy. Commr. Tumkur District submitted on 30th Nov: 1866 a revised statement of the Tiptur, Talug, and the sub-Talug of Huliyar. In this statement was included an item of Rs 112-14-9 on acct of the assessment of the bed of the tank restored by the petitioner at his own cost which amount the petitioner demands Docket No. 408

5/-

106

to pay on the ground that under orders of 1866 he was authorized to cultivate the bed free. This item was disallowed and the Deputy Commissioner was asked to submit a copy of the said order. The Dep. Commissioner submitted a copy of the ~~[illegible]~~ order whereon that officer was asked as to whether the said order of the Dy. Comm. was based on any special order issued in the instance in question, the standing orders for construction of private tanks not conceding the right.

No. $\frac{165-6}{7}$ d 9.4.87.

No. 28 d 21.4.87 -

No. $\frac{603}{21}$ d 5.5.87

~~This reference is still pending xxxx.~~

No. 66 d 12.5.87.

The Dy. Commissioner Tumkur District reported that out of the area Sanctioned of 43. ac. 3 r. 36 - 64 yds the allotted to the petitioner only on an

assessment

Dy. Commr for report 45

109

The petitioners' application for a ~~Tacavi~~ Takkavi advance of Rs 2000/— and declined to remit the sum of Rs 137-3-0 on account of lands under the tank —

The report is awaited

The petitioner repeats his application for the Takkavi advance

20.1.89.

P.Rt
19.6.90

187.

From

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES NO

General No. } 187 —77.
R No.

called for:

21. 5. 77

Drafted

It does not appear on what grounds the Dy Com decided to grant the remission — In the return for March last the outstanding balance of the Kadal Taluk is shown to be only Rs 21. 5-8 whereas the amount now recommended to be remitted is Rs 67. 10. 6 Explanation may be

111

(1) 47

No. R 30

Mundydroog Division
Commissioner's Office:
Bangalore 14th May 1877

To
The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir,

In submitting the accompanying Statement of Remission of Land Revenue amounting to Rs 67-10-10 for the Year 1875-76 due by one Ramiengar of Raddatta Talluk, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. The individual referred to applied in the first instance to the Dy. Commissioner for a remission of Cundayam on the ground that the crops had been

washed away by the breach of a Circar tank situated above his tank and which was also breached in consequence. The Deputy Commissioner having declined to grant the remission, the man appealed to me, and upon perusal of the whole of the proceedings, I remitted the Cundayum in full, pending the reconstruction of his tank.

3. Under these circumstances I beg that you will be so good as to obtain the Chief Commissioner's sanction to the above sum of Rs 67-10-10 being written off the accounts.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt. servant

[signature]

Commissioner

Detailed statement of Irrecoverable balance of Revenue of the Jinthia District for the year 1875-76.

Number	Talook	Kotly	Village	On what account	Number	Name of defaulter	Balance of each year				Explanation of Deputy Commissioner	Opinion of Commissioner	Remarked by Chief Commissioner
							Year	Amount					
1	Kodiaka	Troyamukha	Bonnoinahalli	Land Revenue	1	Ronningar	1875-76	67	10	10	Vide Commissioner's Cancellation Doctat No 262 dated 31st March 1877.	Recommended	13

Jinthia
8 May 1877

Commissioner

Offg. Deputy Commission

114

(50) 1877. No. 23

From L.R. 5-3-77-2

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

To (2)

The Comm^r. of Mundgirong

Dated 24th May 1877.

Despatched " — " —

Received 12th June

ENCLOSURES NO. " —

General No. 2002

R. No. 24

Your letter No. 300 14 May 1877 recommending the ~~Submitting a~~ Remission ~~Abatement of Land Revenue~~ of ~~Amounting to~~ Rs: 67-10-10 being the amount of Kandayam due by one Ramaengar of Kadaba Taluk for 1875-76 ~~[illegible]~~

Observes that in the return for March last, the outstanding balance of the Kadab Taluk is shewn to be only Rs: 21-5-8, whereas the amount now recommended to be remitted and requests the Comr. ~~on~~ is Rs 67.10.10 ~~In calling~~ ~~requesting~~ to explain the discrepancy ~~for an explanation~~ ~~requesting the difference~~ and also ~~request~~ to submit

Ref.

(51) 8, 5

the ~~whole of the~~ entire Proceedings in the case.

"By Order"

B/5

Asst. Secy.

23/5.

Cyro
R.A.
24/5/77.

To Author
2-7-77 / 1/8-2/77

116.

Mr. Ranchi
Revenue (4)
Mr. Bennett (11)
2/8/77.
Number 26 of 16 August 1877

8-2-1656
Nm 42

187 7/78 (59) 1694/53

From The Commissioner
Rund. Division

To (3)

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

L.R.-53-77-3

Dated 29th
Despatched
Received 2 July June
Rec'd 2nd do

Enclosures No. / File of Camane papers

General No. / No. 59 } 187 7—78.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 2002/R.24 of the 24th ultimo on the subject of remission of Cundayum due by one Rainenfar of Cadab for 1875-76, I have the honor to state that from the enclosed copy of Roobkary No. 15 dated 23? ultimo received from the Dy. Commissioner, affording explanation in a similar instance, it will be observed that the amount of balance of land Revenue in the Kadab Taluk for 1875-76, was wrongly shown under "Mohaturfa" and it

would therefore be necessary that the amount in question viz, Rs 67-10-10 recommended to be remitted under Land Revenue, should be struck off the balance shown under "Mohaturfa"—

2. The Proceedings of the Case are herewith submitted—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. Servant

[signature]
Commissioner

118 L.A. 53-77-4 (94)

Revenue Accounts

Pro: of the C. Comr of Mysore.

(4)

No 1803/110 of 25/8/77

Read letter from the Comr of Kandiroor No 59 of 29 June 1877, explaining that the balance of Land Revenue in the Kadaba Taluk for 1875/76 was wrongly shewn under "Mohatarfa" and that the sum of Rs: 67-10-10 due by one Ramiengar of Kadaba, recommended to be remitted under "Land Revenue" should be struck off the balance shewn under "Mohatarfa", and submitting the Proceedings in

in the case.

Order thereon

It would appear from the Proceedings in this case that the damage to the Tank was caused partly by heavy rains and partly by reason of petition-er having neglected to keep it in repair, and although it is not quite clear how far the alle-gation against Ramiengar is true,

that

Refer Petn to previous Endorsement No 1380 dt

120

(General Serial No. 32.)

138 o.

No. 1678.

Name of Petitioner. Ramengat of L.Q. 53-77-81.

Village. Kadaba Talug.

Taluk. Do

District. Tumkur.

Date of Arzi. 28. August do

Date of Receipt. 31. Do.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Submits an English petn referring to endorsement No 1380 of 26 August in which half of the amount due on his lands below the Bomenahalli tank has been remitted & states that he has suffered much loss owing to the tank being in disrepairs. The Govt has not undertaken to repair the tank & petr has no means to repair the tank himself

Begs that half remission 101 121
may be granted to him
for 4 years from the year
Vishu & some help given
from Govt. to the ryots –

(2-8 annas stamps)
Petition

Memorial

COURT FEE — GOVERNMENT OF INDIA — MYSORE — EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE — GOVERNMENT OF INDIA — MYSORE — EIGHT ANNAS

(102

No. 1678

122

31-8-88

To the Revenue Secretary to the Commissioner Mysore

(R.S. 53-77-21) (21)

The humble petition of M. Ramkadaba Paluy

Respectfully sheweth

That an order remit has been made dated the 26th August No. 1380, making remission of half the amount of Kundaly for his land under the tank of Bommenahalli in this Paluy.

That the Sirkar did not undertake repairs that tank, neither compensation given to for it, that up to date no full remission has been granted, that the petitioner suffered a great loss in connection with that tank, that about Rs: 1000 is required for getting the tank repaired that the petitioner has no means to lay out such a large sum, that it will take 2 or 3 years for the petitioner to secure that large sum that therefore he prays that half remission at least may be granted to him for 4 years from the year Vikhu, that Government may kindly

understands the charitable work of helping its people with regard to sanitation. The petitioner begs to await your honor's pleasure on the subject.

[illegible]

25th August
1880

L. Q. 53-77-23. (104

(29)

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maha Raja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 27th December 1881.

READ—

No. D, dated the 20th December 1881 from the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan, reporting for approval that he has intimated to the Jamabandi Officers that the granting of remissions in the case of lands lying waste under tanks is permitted by the recent rules.

No. 7573-80—228, DATED 27TH DECEMBER 1881.

ORDER THEREON.—The rules regarding the remission of half assessment on wet lands laid waste apply to lands situated under tanks yielding a revenue of less than Rs. 100 as well as those with a larger beriz, as all these lands are charged with the full wet assessment. But they were not intended to apply to tanks constructed or kept in repair by private individuals and for which they are allowed a permanent remission in the assessment. Some consideration may be necessary in these cases also in years of general failure of rain. But these cases which are not sanctioned by the rules should be submitted for previous orders.

2. The season in the current year cannot be regarded such as to call for the indulgence except perhaps in one or two taluks of the District.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner of Hassan.
Copy to each of the other Deputy Commissioners.

125 1881.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 27th December 1881.

No. 7573-60.
228.

Granting of remissions in the case of lands lying waste under tanks.—Passing orders thereon.

Mysore Govt. Press. Bangalore.—29-12-81.—100.

Return 15 Octr 24.1.83 18/2/82

COURT FEE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
ONE RUPEE

1056

Urgent

Send for A. Karim including the last return pages. 27.1.82

No 905

47

[illegible]

from above granted were
original & will be
allowed

attachment & attempted sale did
not affect Petr
possession & right to
cultivate. No further remarks
can be granted.

Notedhall

Camp No: 3. 128 1001

Tumkur District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Camp Koratigere 1st February 1882

L.Q. 53-77-25. 25

To,

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore
Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No: 8452/576 of the 27th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith the Vernacular records connected with the complaint preferred by Ramaiyar of Mayasandra in his petition alluded to, and state that he owes to the Kadaba Talúk a sum of Rupees 306-9-9 up to date as particularized in the margin*

	Rs:	
Dhalai	8	—
Eswara	"	—
Bahudhanya	59	— 7
Pannadi	34	— 2 — 3
Vikrama	102	— " — 5
Vishi	102	— " — 5
	306	— 9 — 9

2.— During my tour through the Talúk and also at the current year's Jamabundy, the Amildar having

laid

laid before me the records in question, I inspected the breached tank at Bommenahalli and lands mentioned by the petitioner, and conducted local enquiry into the case in his presence. — There is not a particle of evidence to support the petitioner's allegation that he paid up his arrears, and that the Amildar caused, out of spite, his property to be attached and sold to the prejudice of his interests; but on the contrary it appears that the petitioner concealed his movables and obstructed the sale of land ordered by the lawful authority of a Public Servant. I tried my best to convince the petitioner of the propriety in yielding to the orders of the

the Deputy Commissioner No: 173 of 31st May 1880 issued with reference to the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No: $\frac{205}{8}$ of 13th April 1880, and of the unreasonableness of his request for full remission of the amount due by him as well as of his ground=less complaints against the Talúk Authorities, but in vain. — He refused to pay the arrears, nor did he send the counter parts of the half currency Notes for Rupees 100, which he forwarded with the object of putting off the demand to an indefinite period, and to deceive the Govern=ment thereby. — There is no use of keeping the matter any longer in suspense, and in order to dispose of it finally, I directed the Amildar

on

on 31st December last to proceed against the defaulter's property, which of course comprises nothing but waste lands for which there was no bid last year as mentioned in the Amildar's report No: 850 dated 31st December 1881.

3. — I avail myself of this opportunity to bring to your notice another circumstance, which appears necessary to be considered at the settlement of the petitioner's complaint. — Since the breach of the Bommenahalli Tank, he has cultivated its bed as stated in the Amildar's report No: 963 of 30th January 1881, and the Kandayam thereof at the adjacent rates amounts to Rupees 132 — 13 — " per

annum

2/. 132

annum. — The rule that bed of private tank can be cultivated free by its owners during the season when it contains no water does not apply to the petitioner's case; because his tank breached 6 years ago — under the circumstances mentioned in this Office letter* to the address of the Revenue Secretary to the late Chief Commissioner, and he has abandoned the work altogether withholding also the payments for the land below it.

*No: 311 of 19th March 1880.

4. The Enclosures of the Memorandum under acknowledgment are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

W. Cooper

Offg: Dy: Commissioner

133

1882

From Dy: Commissioner
Tiruchi 20th Feb. 82

To
the Dewan of Mysore
Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner~~,
Revenue ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 1st
Despatched 22 } February 1882.
Received

Enclosures No. 3 of 1 Bundle of Vernacular Records

General No.
Group No. 3 } 1881—82

His Offl: Memo: 8452/576 & 27 ÷ 2

Reports on petition from Ramadyengar of Moyasundra in the Kadaba Taluk.

Secy

18/3
3/3/82 Resume for Govt orders.
18/3/82

No. 2905.

134 8831 (113)

ENDORSEMENT.

L.Q. 53-77-26.

In reply to his Petition, dated 26 January 02 - Ramaiyangar of Nanjeswaranadra in the Kadur Taluq of the Tumkur District - - - - - - - - - - - is informed that permissions already granted were not conditional & will be still allowed - attachment and attempted sale did not affect petitioner's possession & right to cultivate. No further permission can be granted. The enclosures are returned.

"By Order,"

MYSORE CHIEF COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Rev. DUFTER DEPARTMENT, 187.

No. 8831 / 591 (Copy to Commr. of Tumkur)

to February 02 with reference to his letter No. 3 dt 27/1/02 the original enclosures are returned which are herewith returned.

for Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Press, Bangalore.— 23-6-59.— 500.

137

3400
114

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

d, (Serial No. 29.)

1194

1882 189 117

From Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To the Dewan of Mysore
Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner~~,
Revenue ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 11
Despatched 12 } May 1882
Received

Enclosures No. 3

General. No. } 1882—8 3
No. 84

Secretary's No 9507/664 of 18 March 1882.

Reports on the petition of Ramiengar of Nayarundra, Kadaba Taluk.

13/5/82
BS

[illegible] No 152
[illegible] on 26-4-82

File

No 84 140

Tumkur District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Tumkur 11th May 1882.

To. R.Q. 53-77-28. (28)

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official memo No 9507/664 dated 18th March last, directing me to report as to what took place with reference to previous orders on the subject of M. Ramingar's petition, I have the honor to state that the resale of the Petitioner's land for arrears of revenue was fixed on the noon of the 15th February 1882; but your final orders No 8831/591 dated 10th Idem giving cover to copy of the endorsement given to him not being received in my Camp at Sira until the evening of the 15th, the Shanadar of Mayasandra cried up on that noon as per previous arrangement, but finding no bids sent up to the Kadaba Amildar the blank sale lists. On the 16th I

issued

issued necessary orders in accord-
-ance with the terms of the above
mentioned endorsement, and the
sale of his property has been
stopped-

2- The figures given by the
Petitioner in his statement do not
tally with the accounts of the
Talook, in consequence of his having
entered therein the Cundayam of
resumed land, and omitted an item
amounting to Rupees 1-14-9 due
by him on account of a field in
his possession- I have enclosed
herein a Canarese statement pre-
-pared by the Amildar with
reference to the existing Talik ac-
-counts, from which it will be
observed that out of Rupees
306-9-9 due by him up to the
last year Vishoo, the remission
granted under the Chief Com-
-missioner's Proceedings No $\frac{205}{8}$ of 13th
April 1880 alluded to amount to
Rupees 137-6-6; adding to which
Rupees 111-11-0 since paid by
him, makes Rupees 249-1-6
leaving a balance of Rupees

57-8-3

142 57-8-3, which comprises 12 items viz:
Rupees 14-3-9 arrears of Cunda-
-yam on the Bommahalli land
below his private Tank, and
Rupees 43-4-6 on the Maya-
-sundra lands under the Cir-
-kar Tank.—

The 1st mentioned amount (14-3-9)
cannot be remitted as the land
on which it is due is situated be-
-low his private Tank, while the
next item viz: Rupees 43-4-6,
being the Cundayam on the waste
wet land below the breached
Mayasundra Tank, 1/2 of this
sum viz: Rupees 21-10-3 can
be remitted under the rules.* I
have, therefore, ordered the Amil-
-dar to recover from the Petitioner
the balance of Rupees 21-10-3
plus Rupees 14-3-9 alluded to
above and release his land from
attachment.

3- The enclosures of your Memo
under reference are returned here-
-with.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.

Offg: Deputy Commnr.

* Dewan's Pro-ceedings No 7573-80 0727/228 December 1881.

143

Sr. No. 2673.
203.

30

To, 1288

For order on
Minutes by Mr.
No 84. Dt. 15-5-82.

C. V. Runga Charloo Esqr. C.I.E.
Dewan to His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore.

The humble petition of Rama Iyengar of Mayisundra. Kadaba Taluk.

Sheweth.

1. That Bomenahally is situated within the revenue jurisdiction of the Kadaba Taluk.

2. That your petitioner had the Bomenahally Tank constructed for the use of the cattle, people and crops.

3. That the said Tank was in good order till November 1875 when the Govt. Tanks about Bomenahally breaching the Bomenahally Tank breached also.

4. That before your petitioner could restore the said Tank the Mayisundra ammani Tank breached washing away your petitioner's house with all its valuables. That your petitioner thus reduced in circumstances has lost all credit.

5. That in February 1880 Mr. Shasha Aiyer inspected the Bomenahally

Tank

Tank and after expressing regret at the disaster promised to accommodate your petitioner with a Takavi advance of 1500 Rs. from Government for restoring the Tank.

6. That your petitioner therefore prays your honor may be pleased to sanction the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to give petitioner an advance of 1500 Rs. towards the restoration of the Tank.

7. That your petitioner will exert his best to recoup the money to Govt. after 7 years.

For which act of kindness your petitioner will as in duty bound ever pray.

Mysore
19th November 1882

Sl. No. 2674.
205.

37

To,

C. V. Runga Charloo Esqre: C.I.E.
Dewan to His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore

The humble petition of Rama Iyenga of Magisundra Kadaba Taluk.

Sheweth,

I. That your petitioner owed Government Rs: 120.3.5 up to Pramadi 1879-80 on account of arrears of revenue on certain lands situate at Magisundra.

II. That the Kadaba Taluk amildar caused those lands and a stack of raggi to be attached towards payment of such arrears and fixed the 7th of March 1880 as date of their sale.

III. That your petitioner on 6th March paid the Handaya viz: Rs: 120.3.5 and req the amildar to withdraw the attachment order and put petitioner in possession of the lands and the stack of raggi crop.

IV. That the Kadaba Taluk amildar did not comply with such request.

V. That there was at the above same time another demand of Rs: 205.2.3 against petitioner on account of revenue for lands held by him in the Bomenahalli T

VI. That the late Chief Commissioner having vide Proceedings No. 205 dated 15th April 1880 sanctioned the remission of half a peshkash on lands under the Bommenahally Tank your petitioner was liable only to the extent of Rs: 102.9.2 but the amildar has recovered from him 13Rs: 9ans: 6pies more or 116Rs: 2ans: 8pies.

VII. That your petitioner was thus by September 1881 free from all liabilities to Government, the amildar nevertheless kept him out of possession of the lands and crops apparently to cause loss to him as the enclosed endorsements to Rs: will show.

VIII. That your petitioner holds another piece of land No. 7 in 150 in the Mayasandra village. He had improved it and strengthened the cultivation at a cost of 40Rs.

IX. That the Kadur Talook amildar had it attached also for revenue arrears while none such was due thereon.

X. That subsequently on the 22nd of Nov: 1880 the Mayasandra Tank breached and the contractors in the course of repairing it excavated earth from petitioner's land to a depth of 3 mans height and thereby made it unfit for cultivation.

XI. That your petitioner represented this circumstance to the Deputy Commr: and prayed for compensation being given

to

to him but it resulted in the resumption of the land by Government.

XII. That your petitioner under the above circumstances prays:—

(1) Compensation for loss sustained on items No. II & V.

(2) Refund of excess money on item No. VI.

(3) Return of land resumed by Government vide item No: XI.

(4) Return of stock of raggi crop valued at 100 Rs. Item No: II.

For which act of kindness your petitioner will as in duty bound ever pray.

Mysore
19th November 1882

Incl. No. 3956
401

1 cd
L.R. 53-77-

(32)

To,

K. Sheshadri Aiyar Esqr. B.A.
B.L.
Dewan to His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

The enclosure, copy of a petition presented by me to the late lamented Dewan on the 20th November 1882 will give a plain account of the circumstances of my sad case. Had the gentlemen lived I have no doubt he would have redressed my grievances but since that was not to be, I address myself to your honor trusting your honor may look into my case and redress my grievances.

I need not describe the privations of myself and my poor family coupled with the drain on my health and my poor purse owing to constant going about from place to place in hopes of redress.

Mysore.
11th March 1883.

(Generl Serial No. 32.)

188 2/83.

No. 3969/230 L.Q. 5-3-77-31

Name of Petitioner. Ramiengar

Village. Kadaba

Taluk. Tiptur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 11. 3. 83

Date of Receipt. 22. 3. 83.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. —

ABSTRACT.

Submits a printed memorial in English soliciting orders on his previous petitions.

4,82,022 / 2
9680
2420
12100 / 1000
2100
2000
1
24 1/5
1-8-3

Issue

180

"Jufty putty."

ಯೂದಾಸ್ತು ಜಫ್ತೀಪಟ್ಟೀ
ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಡವಾ ಸಮ್ಮತು
ಮಾಯಿಸಂದಾ), ಸ್ ೧೮೮೦
ನೇಯಿಸವಿ ಜುಲೈತಾ॥ 8ರಲ್ಲಿ
ಬೊಮ್ಮೇನಹಳ್ಳೀಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ಬ
ರತಕ್ಕ ಕಂದಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅಂ
ಜೇರಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರಿಂದ ಬ
ರತಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊಬಲಗು
ರೂ ೮೦ ಆ ೬ ಪೈ ೨ ಐನು
ನೋಟೀಸು

ಬಡಿ,

ಪಟ್ಟೀ ೧ಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಿ ರೂ
೧೦ ಆ ೧೦ ಪೈ ೬
ಪಟ್ಟೀ ೧ ಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಿ ರೂ
೬೯ ಆ ೧೧ ಪೈ ೪
ಬಹುಧಾನ್ಯ ರೂ ೧೩೫ ಆ ೫
ಪೈ ೪
ಪಟ್ಟೀ ೧ ಕ್ಕೆ ಬಹುಧಾನ್ಯ ರೂ
೭ ಆ ೯ ಪೈ ೧
ಧಾತು ರೂ ೪- ಆ ೦- ಪೈ ೧
ಈಶ್ವರ ರೂ ೦ ಆ ೧೧ ಪೈ ೭

ರೂ ೧೫೧ ಆ೧೩ಪೈ೫

ಜುಮ್ಲಾ ರೂ ೨೩೦ ಆ ೩ಪೈ೭
ಕ್ಕೆ ಯಿವನ ಪಟ್ಟಾದಾಖಲೆ
೧. ಬೆಂಬೊಮ್ಮೇನಹಳ್ಳೀಕೆರೇ
ಹಿಂದಣ ತೀರ್ಜಪಾನ ಸಂಬ
ರು ೧೧೨ ಬೀಜವರಿ ಖಂ ೨೧
ಕೊ, ೫ ಕಂದಾಯ ರೂ ೬೫
ಆ೧೧ ಪೈ ೦
ಖುಷ್ಕೀನಂಬರು೧೪ ಬ,೧ ಪಸಿ
ಕಂದಾಯ ಆ ೪ ಪೈ ೪
ನಂಬರು ೧೦೩, ಖಂ ೧, ಕಂ
ದಾಯರೂ ೬ ಆ ೪ ಪೈ ೦
ನಂಬರ್ ೯೬ನೇಹುಲ್ಲಗಾ
ವಲು ಕೊ ೧೫ ಕಂದಾಯ
ರೂ ೩ ಆ ೭ ಪೈ ೧೦
೧. ಕಸಬಾ ಮಾಯಿಸಂದ್ರ
ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಗಾಯಿತು
ನಂಬರು ೧೫೦ ಖಂ, ೧ ಕೊ,
೧೫ ಪ ೨ ಕಂದಾಯ ರೂ
೧೭ ಆ ೯ ಪೈ ೩
೧ ಮಾಯಿಸಂದ್ರದಅವಾ
ನೀಕೆರೇಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಸ್ವಾ
ತಪಟ್ಟಿ ನಂಬರು ೧೭೬ ಬೀ.
ಕೊ, ೭ ಬ, ೨ ಪ, ೧॥; ಕಂ
ದಾಯ ರೂ, ೫ ಆ ೫ ಪೈ ೦
೧ ಯಿವರ ಅನುಭವದಬಾ
ಬತು ಮಾಯಿಸಂದ್ರದ ಅವಾ
ನೀಕೆರೇಹಿಂದೆ ರಂಗೀಬಾಬತು
ತರೀಜಪಾನು

ನಂ, ೧೧೭; ಬೀ, ೧॥೩
ಕಂದಾಯ ರೂ, ೦ ಆ ೬ಪೈಂ;
ನಂ, ೧೭೭ ಬೀ, ಖಂ, ೧ ಕೊ
೯ ಬ, ೨ ಪ, ೨; ಕಂ,೧೪-೧೧.
ಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸೈದ್‌ಅಹಮದ್, ಅಮ
ಲ್‌ದಾರ್, ಯಂದುಸೈನಿಧೆ.

§Vide "jufty putty" copy as in margin. *N. B.* The "jufty" (attachment) took place on 28 of July 1880 on a notice (see below) dated the same day but *served* on petitioner on 6th August 1880 while the "jufty putty" itself was dated the 8th July 1880.

Notice.

ಕಡಬಾ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಾಯಿ
ಸಂದ್ರದ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಕಸಬಾ
ಮಾಯಿಸಂದ್ರ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ
ರುವ ಛತ್ರದ ರಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂ
ಗಾರ್‌ಗೆ ತಗಾದೇನೋಟೀಸ್
ಬೊಮ್ಮೇನಹಳ್ಳೀಗ್ರಾಮ
೧೮೮೦ನೇ ಯಿಸವಿ ಜುಲೈ
ತಿಂಗಳು ೨೮ ನೇತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ
ಪೂರೈಸಿದ ೧೮೮೦ನೇಯಿಸವಿ
ತಿಂಗಳ ಖಿಸ್ತಿಗೆ ೪೨
ನೇನಂಬರ್ ಪಟ್ಟೀದಾರನಾ
ದ ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ರೂ ೨೦೫ ಆ ೧
ಪೈ ೪ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂ
ದ ಕಡಬದ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮ
ಲ್‌ದಾರ್ರು ನಿನಿಗೆ ತಗಾದೇ
ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಮತ್ತು ಈ
ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಜಾರೀಆದ ತಾ
ರೀಖುಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ೧೫ ದಿನ
ಗಳ ಒಳಗಾಗಿ ಸದರೀ ಮೊಬ
ಲಗನ್ನು ನೀನು ಪಾವತೀಮಾ
ಡಬೇಕು.

ಮೀಲೆ ನಮೂದಾದ ಮಾ
ಯಿದೇವಳಗೆ ಚರ್ಜೀಯಲ್ಲಿ
ರುವ ಬಾಕೀಯನ್ನು ಸಂದಾ
ಯಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸಂ
ದಾಯ ಮಾಡದೇ ಯಿರುವದ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕಾದ ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು
ತೋರಿಸವಿದ್ದರೆ ಬಾಕೀಗೆ ಸಾ
ಕಾದಷ್ಟು ನಿನ್ನ ಚರ, ಯಾ
ಸ್ಥಿರಸ್ವತ್ತು, ಮತ್ತ್ಯಾವದೊಂ
ದು ನೋಟೀಸನ್ನೂ ಕೊಡ
ದೇಲೀ ಜಫ್ತೀ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಾ
ಜ್ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವದು.

ತಾ॥ 28 } ಸೈದ್‌ಅಹಮದ್,
ನೇಜುಲೈ } ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್,
೧೮೮೦.)ಯಂದು ಸೈನಿಯಿಧೆ

ಸ್ ೧೮೮೦ ನೇಯಿಸವಿ ಆಗ
ಷ್ಟುತಾ॥ 6ರಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರೀಆಗಿ
ಧೆ. ರಾಜುರಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್.

EXPLANATION FOR PARA VI.

According to Shekdar's putty the Government demand against Boménahully lands up to end of Pramadi 1879-80 was Rs. 205-1-4.

In consequence of heavy breach in the Bomenahully tank caused by other Government tanks breaching Mr. Seshadri Iyer Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur at time of Jamabandy in February 1880, inspected the same and promised (Vide Dy. Commissioner's. recommendation to the late Chief Commissioner) *full remission* of kandaya on the petitioner's lands under it. While your petitioner was awaiting the order of remission, the Talook demand became pressing and the Shekdar out of grudge, *at once* §*attached* the Bomenahully lands as also those in the Mayasandra Village and two heaps of paddy—produce of lands Nos. 19 and 25 in Mayasandra—but sold *all but the produce* of No. 25 Vide "Haraj" putty.

The Chief Commissioner having, in reply to the Dy. Commr's. recommendation on 13th of April 1880, Vide proceedings No. $\frac{205}{8}$, *sanctioned* the *remission of half kandaya* on Bomenahully lands the petitioner in place of paying Rs. 102-8-8 paid Rs. 116-2-8 under Amildar's verbal orders and sought the release of lands and crops from attachment. But the Amildar in reply stated that petitioner's lands had *never been attached releasing only* the crop of land No. 25 (Vide para IX of petition and endorsement *No. 307 dated 15-10-80 given in extenso with translation in Margin); and on 21st July 1881 he served petitioner with a notice for *full* kandaya for Vikrama and the remaining half of the remitted kandayam for Pramadi. The petitioner with a deposit of 50 Rupees sought refuge with the District Officer for the remission of *half* kandaya under Chief Commissioner's proceedings already referred to, as no crop of any kind could be raised on the lands in question and the breach in the tank bund had not been repaired. No relief was obtained in this quarter either. The Amildar made another *demand* for *full kandaya* for the year Vishú fixing the 15th February 1882 for the sale of lands.

Aggrieved at these arbitrary proceedings the petitioner requested the Dewan for immediate interference, which had the desired effect of bringing peremptory *orders from him to the Officers concerned for the recovery of *half* kandaya *only* on the Bomenahully lands. The petitioner in obedience to these orders sent the half kandaya viz. Rs. 111-11-0 from Bangalore by express anche on 14th February 1882 to ensure payment to Kadaba Taluk Treasury in time before the threatened sale on 15th February 1882. The sale nevertheless took place.

Like the Mayasandra lands the Bomenahully lands have been under attachment for two years together, subjecting petitioner to constant vexatious demands and unmerited remarks on his conduct.

Another instance for the 1st item of relief sought.

Endorsement.

—o—

*ಯಿಂ॥ ನಂ॥ ೩೦೭
ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರನಿಗೆ ಜವಾಬ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಬಾಬತು ಸ್ಥಿರ ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಯಾವದೂ ಜಪ್ತೀ ಆಗಿರುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವೂನತ್ತು ಆಗಿದ್ದ ಲಕ್ಕೀಮೆಳೆ ಗದ್ದೇ ಬಾಬತು ಗಾ)ವು ೧।೦ ಖಂಡಿಭತ್ತವನ್ನ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಿಫರ್ಸ್‌ವೂಡಿ ನಿಮ್ಮಂದಾ ಪಡೆದ ರಶೀತಿ ನಿಶಿ ಶೇ॥ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟುವೂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರಾದ್ದತಿಳಿಯುವದು.

ತಾ॥ ೧೫ ನೇ ಅಗಸ್ಟ ೧೮೮೦ } ಸೈದ್‌ಅಹಮದ್, ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್, ಎಂದುಯಿಭೆ.

*None of your lands have been attached. The Shekdar has reported with your receipt that he has handed over to you $1\frac{1}{4}$ candies (by the Village Measure) of paddy—the produce of "Lukimailai" gudday.

*No. 2905.

152

EXPLANATORY MEMO OF REASONS IN SUPPORT OF THE SEVERAL REQUESTS CONTAINED IN PETITION ADDRESSED TO DEWAN.

EXPLANATION FOR PARA 1.

The petitioner holds 3 lands Nos. 150, 25, and 19 in the Mayasandra Village and the Government demand against them up to end of Pramadi 1879-80 was Rupees 120-3-5.

The crops on the above lands having almost died from want of water the petitioner had with a deposit of 100 Rs. borrowed from Kambham Garoodachar as explained in a subsequent para, applied to Government for remission of ½ assessment on the above lands. Before this application could be disposed of, the Shekdar *attached the said lands and a stack of raggi crop of land No. 150 and notified on 3rd March 1880, the sale of the lands in question. The petitioner therefore on 5th March 1880, requested the Amildar to stay all proceedings of sale pending disposal of his petition which had been submitted to the local Government. The Amildar however declined to grant the request and petitioner on 6th March 1880 *satisfied* the Government demand from the deposit money (which was in half Notes, the remaining halves having already been sent to Government) and also in cash raised from the said Kambham Garoodachar. The Amildar received the amount promising to send next morning by express anche, from money *placed by petitioner at the Amildar's disposal* for the purpose, a *takeed* to Shekdar to *release* the lands &c. *from attachment.*

*Vide "jufty putty" dated 22-1-80 given under.

ಯಾದಾಸ್ತು ಜಪ್ತೀಪಟ್ಟೀತಾಲ್ಕು ಕಡಪಾ ಸಮ್ಮತು ಮಾಯಿಸಂದ್ರದ ಕಸಬಾ ರಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರಂದಾ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ಕಂದಾಯ.

ಸನ ೧೮೮೦ನೇ ಯಿಸವಿ ಜನವರಿತಾರೀಖು ೨೦ರಲ್ಲು
ಮಾಯಿಸಂದ್ರದ ಗಾವುಕ್ಕೆ
ರೂ ೧೮ ಆ ೨ ಪೈ ೦ ಧಾತುಸಂವತ್ಸರಾ
ರೂ ೧೮ ಆ ೨ ಪೈ ೦ ಈಶ್ವರಸಂವತ್ಸರಾ
ರೂ ೯ ಆ ೧ ಪೈ ೬ ಬಹುಧಾನ್ಯಸಂವತ್ಸರಾ

ರೂ ೪೫ ಆ ೫ ಪೈ ೬

ಕ್ಕೆ ಜಪ್ತಿನೂಡಿಯಿರುವದು ಯಿವರವಟ್ಟಾದಾಬಲು ನಂ॥ ೧೫೦ನೆಯೇರಿ ಹಿಂದಣ ತರಿ ಜಮೀನು ಯಿದರ ಚತರಕ್ಕು ೧. ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಗಾವುಸ್ತರ ಧ್ವವಪೈರುತೋಟ ೨. ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ಯೇರಿಬುಡವಪ್ಪಿಳ್ಳೆ ೩. ವುತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾಮಂಣನತೋಟ ೪ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ವರದ್ಯೆಯಂಗಾರಹೆಂಡತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮನತೋಟ

ಈಮಧ್ಯೆಯಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜಮೀನುಬೀಜವರಿ

ಕಂದಾಯ ರೂ ೧೮ ಆ ೨; ನಂ॥೧೫೦; ೧॥ ಪಡಿ ೨ ಈಪಾಬತು ಜಮೀನುಮಜಕೂರು ಪ್ರಮೂಧಿ ನಂ॥ ಕ್ಕೆ ಕುಯಿವ ರಾಗಿಫಸಲಿಟ್ಟಿರುವದು ಕಾಪ್ಪೆ ೧ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಕಲಾಯಕೃಷ್ಣರಾವ ೨ಖಂಡೆಗಕ್ಕೆ — ಖರೀದಿ ಖಂಡಿ ೧ಕ್ಕೆ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ೪ರ ವೇರೆಗೆ ೮ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಹುಟ್ಟುವಳಿಆಗಬಹುದು.

ಬೈರಪ್ಪ ಶೇಕದಾರ್, ಎಂದುಯಿದೆ.

ಸೈದ್ ಅಹಮದ್, ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್, ಯಂದುಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೈನ್‌ಯಿದೆ.

153

× Was only received by the Shekdar on the 8th March —

ನಂ॥ ೧೧೫ ಮೊಯಸಂದ್ರದ ರಾಮೈಯಂಗಾರ್ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಕಂದಾಯದಬಾಕಿಗಾಗಿ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಆಗಿರುವ ಸ್ವತ್ತುಹರಾಜುಮಾಡಲು ಯಿಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಡೇಟ್‌ನಿಷ್ಕರ್ಷೆಯಿಂದ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂಕೊಟ್ಟ ರುತ್ತಪ್ಪೆ. ಯಿನೊಂದು ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡುವರಿಗೂ ಹರಾಜುಕ್ಕಿವು ಸದರಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂಜಾರಿಮಾಡಕೆಲಸವಿಲ್ಲಾ.

ತಾ॥ ೭ನೇಮಹೆ ಮಾರ್ಚಿ ೧೮೮೦ನೆ ಯಿಸವಿ.

ಸೈದ್‌ಅಹಮದ್, ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್, ಯಂದು ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೈನಿಯಿಧೆ.

"Haraj Putty."

ಯೂದಾಸ್ತು ಹರಾಜುಪಟ್ಟಿ ತಾಲ್ಲುಕ್ಕಡಬಾ ಸಮ್ಮತುಮೊಯಸಂದ್ರಾ; ಸನ್ ೧۷۷೦ನೇ ಯಿಸವಿ ಮಾರ್ಚಿತಾ॥ ೪ಲ್ಲು ಮೊಯಸಂದ್ರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಂಚೇರಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್‌ರಿಂದ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಮೊಯಿಸಂದ್ರಗ್ರಾಮ, ಯಿವರ ಸ್ವಂತಪಟ್ಟೇದಾಖಲೇಬಾಬತು ಧಾತು, ರೂ ೧೪ ಆ ೨ಪೈ ಈಶ್ವರ, ರೂ ೧۷ ಆ ೨ಪೈ ಬಹುಧಾನ್ಯ ರೂ ೯೮೧ ಪೈ ೬

ಯಿಬಾಬತು ಮೊಬಲಗು ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಜಾರಿ ಆದಮೇಲ್ಯೂ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ಯಿವರ ಪಟ್ಟೇದಾಖಲೆ, ನಂಬರು ೧೫೦ ಬೀಜವರಿ ಖಂ.೧ ಕೊಳಗ ೧೫ ಪಡಿ ೨; ಕಂದಾಯ ರೂ ೧೭ ಆ ೯ ಪೈ ೩. ಯಿಬಾಬತು ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾನು ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿ—ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕನಂಜುಂಡೈಯ್ಯ ವಗೈರೇರ ತೋಟ, ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆರೆಯೇರಿ, ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ಪಮ್ಮಂಣ್ಣನತೋಟ, ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನ ತೋಟ. ಈಜಮಿನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ರತಕ್ಕ ಹಿಪ್ಪೇಮರ ೨, ಮೂವಿಸಮರ ೨, ಹುಣಸೇಮರ ೧, ಅರಳೇಮರ ೧, ಈಮರಗಳು ಖ್ಯಾನುಭೋಗ ತಿಮ್ಮರಸೈಯ್ಯ, ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ, ಗುಂಡಪ್ಪ, ಯಿವರುಗಳ ಮರಗಳು ತಮ್ಮದು, ಹರಾಜುಮಾಡಿಸಕೂಡದೆಂದು ತಕರಾರು ಹೇಳುವದ್ವರಿಂದ ಮರಗಳುಶಿವಾಯಿ ಜಮಿನುಹರಾಜು

ಸರಕಾರದ ಸವಾಲ್

ಈತಾರೀಖು ದಿನ ೧೦ಘಂಟೇಲುಗಾಯಿತು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ೫ಘಂಟೇವರೆಗೆ ಕೂಗಿಶಿದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಯಾರೂಖಾಯಿಷ್‌ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತರರುಜುಯಿಧೆ. ಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಬೈರಪ್ಪ, ಶೇಕದಾರ್ ಯಂದಿಧೆ. ಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸೈದ್ ಅಹಮದ್, ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್, ಯಂದು ಸೈನಿಧೆ.

*Vide Takeed No. 815 which is thus worded. "You are aware that "orders have been issued to you for the "sale with date fixed, of "Ramiengar's lands in "satisfaction of revenue "arrears. Until another order shall issue, the sale business "under previous orders "shall be stayed". This takeed while it does not remove altogether the attachment order is *tanta mount* to non-compliance with petitioner's request as stated in Para IV of his petition. It is favorable only to the extent that sale shall be stayed. N. B. *Doing a prohibited act is a breach of order on the part of Shaikdar, the result of deep-seated ill-will towards petitioner.*

—o—

☞ The "jufty and Haraj" putties shew only *one* land as attached and sold.
The copies of the "jufty and Haraj" putties of the other *two* lands have *not* been *furnished* to petitioner though applied for.

×The Shekdar received the *Takeed on 7th March 1880, he nevertheless *cried sale* of the land on 8th March 1880 *leaving* the stack of raggi crop *unaccounted for*. Vide *"Haraj" putty dated 8th March 1880.

It is thus evident that lands the demand on which had been the subject of appeal to Local Government, and had in the meantime been fully satisfied owing to unmerited and unnecessary pressure, have been *sold in direct contravention of orders to the contrary* and the petitioner *deprived* of his *only means of living*.

So much for the first item of relief sought.

---

alt. ignorance.

Good

P.T.O.

154

C. V. RUNGACHARLU Esq. C. I. E.,
DEWAN TO HIS HIGHNESS THE
MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF RAMIENGAR OF MAYASANDRA IN THE LATE KADABA TALOOK, SINCE ADDED TO THE TIPTUR TALOOK, TUMKUR DISTRICT.

SHEWETH :—

I. That your petitioner owed Government Rupees 120-3-5 up to Pramadi 1879-80 on account of arrears of revenue on certain lands situated in the Mayasandra Village.

II. That the Kadaba Talook Amildar caused those lands and a stack of raggi crop of land No. $\frac{7}{150}$ (land excepted) to be attached towards payment of such arrears and fixed the 7th of March 1880 as the date of their sale.

III. That your petitioner on 6th March 1880 paid the Kandaya viz. 120-3-5, and requested the Amildar to withdraw the attachment order and put petitioner in possession of the lands and the stack of raggi crop.

IV. That the Amildar did not comply with such request.

V. That there was at the above said time another demand of Rs. 205-1-4 against petitioner on account of revenue arrears for lands held by him under the Boménahully tank.

VI. That the Kadaba Talook Amildar in addition to attaching Bomenahully lands included in putta No 42 had the Mayasandra lands and two heaps of paddy-produce of lands Nos. 19 and 25-also attached a 2nd time for the said arrears.

VII. That the late Chief Commissioner having, Vide Proceedings No. $\frac{205}{8}$ dated 13th April 1880, sanctioned the remission of $\frac{1}{2}$ assessment on lands under the Bomenahully tank, your petitioner was liable only to the extent of Rs. 102-8-8 but the Amildar has recovered from him Rs. 13-10-0 more, or Rs. 116-2-8 *still* keeping the land under attachment.

VIII. That your petitioner thus free from all liabilities to Government by September 1880, prayed the Talook Authorities to restore the lands and crop to him.

IX. That the Talook Amildar in reply to such requisition stated (Vide endorsement given in extenso with translation in Explanatory Memo appended) that petitioner's lands had *never* been attached *only* releasing the paddy crop of land No. 25.

X. That the Amildar having again by Notice after the issue of such en-

dorsement made a further demand, your petitioner *sought reasons* for such demand at the hands of the Deputy Commissioner.

XI. That the Deputy Commissioner instead of bestowing upon the case such consideration as it deserved, informed petitioner through the Amildar that the demand made by the Amildar, was the balance on the Bomenahully lands *still ignoring* that *half assessment* on them *had been remitted* by the Chief Commissioner.

XII. That your petitioner holds another piece of land No. $\frac{7}{150}$ in the Mayasandra Village. He had improved it and strengthened cultivation at a cost of 410 Rs.

XIII. That on the 22nd of November 1880, the Mayasandra tank breached and the contractors in the course of repairing it, excavated earth from the above land to a depth of 3 men's height and thereby made it unfit for cultivation.

XIV. That your petitioner represented this circumstance to the Deputy Commissioner and prayed for *compensation* being given to him but it resulted in the *resumption* of the land by Government.

XV. That your petitioner under the above circumstances prays—

(1st) Recoupment of value of two years' crops during which the Mayasandra and Bomenahully lands were waste in consequence of attachment although Kandayem had been duly paid ; Vide paras (II.) and (V.)

(2ndly) Refund of excess money viz. Rs. 13-10-0 ; Vide para (VII.)

(3rdly) Return of land resumed by Government ; Vide para (XIV.)

(4thly) Return of stack of raggi crop valued at 100 Rs. Vide para (II.)

and,

(5thly) Compensation for losses sustained on land No. $\frac{7}{150}$; Vide paras (XII.) and (XIII.)

For which act of kindness, your petitioner shall, as in duty bound,

20th November 1882.

EVER PRAY.

131

Genl. No. 3969/270

1386

33

Keep for Camp. Acc 22/3/83

K. SHESHADRI IYER. ESQUIRE. B. A., B. L.,
DEWAN TO HIS HIGHNESS THE
MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Sir,

The enclosure, a copy of a memorial presented by me to the late lamented Dewan on the 20th November 1882 will give a plain account of the circumstances of my sad case. Had the gentleman lived, I have no doubt he would have redressed my grievances but since that was not to be, I address myself to your honor trusting your honor may look into my case and redress the wrongs which have been grinding me for past years in consequence of the high handed proceedings of subordinate officials.

I need not describe the privations of myself and my poor family coupled with the drain on my health and poor purse owing to constant going about from place to place in hopes of redress.

(Signed) Ramaiyengar,
Mayasandra,
Tiptur Taluk.

Myosre
March 1883.

158

IN RE MAYASUNDRA RAMIENGAR'S PETITION.

158

The other point damanding notice is about the crop of land No. 19 referred to in a preceding para at the opening of the Explanatory Memo. As already explained in that para, the petitioner had in consideration of Rs. 120 0-0 borrowed in parts from Kambham Garoodachar for payment of Kandaya on Mayasandra lands and also in consideration of another sum of 80 Rupees borrowed on 9th March 1880 to meet certain other Government demand, in all amounting to Rs. 200-0-0, sold to him two year's paddy crops viz. Pramadi and Vikrama, of land No. 19. Vide stamped bond dated 9th March 1880.

While K. Garoodachar was under this contract gathering the Pramadi crop and preparing the land for next year's cultivation, the Shekdar on 21st July 1880 *intercepted* the removal of the crop (*Raj* and *Koolpal* together) as also the paddy crop of land No. 25 on the plea that Kandaya on Bomenahully lands had not been paid.

K. Garoodachar on 24th and 26th July 1880 communicated by post to Shekdar to *remove* the *restraint* but to *no result*. K. Garoodachar afterwards addressed petitions to the Talook and Deputy Commissioner. The Shekdar thereon reported to the Amildar that K. Garoodachar *bought the crops subsequent to attachment. This is entirely false.* The sale took place on 9th March 1880, while the so called "jufty" was made on 28th July 1880 in the manner already fully detailed Vide remark marked (§) thus in margin opposite Bomenahully lands in Explanatory Memo.

The heap of paddy consisted of $54\frac{3}{4}$ candies valued at Rs. $328\frac{1}{2}$ Vide "Réké putty" and is *still* under *attachment* in the face of several documents that it was not petitioner's property. The Shekdar's proceedings as above detailed shew with what intent he has done all this under the cloak of duty.

An early inquiry into it however is respectfully solicited as K. Garoodachar is anxious to have his loss made good by means of proceedings against the Shekdar in question.

ಖಾತೆಅಂಕಿ ೪೨

SPutta.

—o—

ನಮೊನೇನಂಬರು ೪.

ಮಾಯಸಂದ್ರದ ರಾಮಯ್ಯಂಗಾರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ರಶೀತಿ. ಮಳುಜೆ ಬೊಮ್ಮೇನಹಳ್ಳಿ; ಮಾಗಡಿಮಾಯಸಂದ್ರ; ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ; ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ತುಮಕೂರ್, ಸಂ- ೧೯೮೦-೮೧ ನೇಯಿಸನಿ ವಿಕ್ರಮಸಂವತ್ಸರಕ್ಕೆ.

ರೋಜಾ ಖಿರದೀಪುಟ ೬.—ಸಾಲ್‌ಗುದಸ್ತಾಬಾಕಿ ೦೫-೧-೪ ೮೦ ನೇಯಿಸನಿ ಕ್ಷ್ಯಂಬರ್ ತಾ॥ ಟಲ್ಲು ೭೫-೧೪-೮ } ಬಹುಧಾನ್ಯಸಂ॥೧೩೫- ೫- ೮

ಬಹುಧಾನ್ಯಸಂವತ್ಸರಸಂ। ೭೩-೧-೪ ೦ ; ೪- ೧-೮
೭೩-೧೩ -೧೦. ೨-೨-೧೦ } ಪ್ರಮಾಥಿಸಂ॥ದ ಸಂ॥ ೬- ೧೧ ೮;
ರೋಜಾಖಿರದೀಪುಟ ೭ ೬೫- ೧೦- ೦; ೪- ೧- ೮

ಅಗಟಂಬರುತಾರೀಖುಗಳ ರಲ್ಲು ೪೦-೪- ೦ } ——————— *೦೫- ೧- ೪

ಪ್ರಮಾಥಿಸಂವತ್ಸರದಸಂ। ೩೮-೦-೦; ೨-೪-೦ } ರೋಜಾಖಿರದೀಪುಟ ೧೧ ೮ಂನೇಯಿಸನಿ ಕಪಟಂಬರುತಾ॥ ೨೪ ರಲ್ಲು ಸಾಲುಮಜಕೂರು ಜಮಾಬಂದಿ ಗೊತ್ತಾದಪ್ರಕಾರ ಬರಬೇಕಾದ ಬಾಕಿ ರೂ॥೬೯೮॥೧೧ಕ್ಕೆ೮ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ತರೀಜುಮಾನು ೧೧೦;-ಬೀ.ಮಿಂ.೦೧;- ಕಂ,-೬೫-೧೧ ರೋಕಡಾ ಸೆಸ್—ರೂ॥೪ ಆ॥ ೦೯೮.

———————

ರೂ॥ ೬೯೮-೧೧-೮

———————

ರೂ॥ ೦೨೪ -೧೩ -೦.

ಶೇಷಪ್ಪ—ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರ್, ಯಂದಿಸಿ.

*205- 1- 4 ಕ್ಕೆ.

ಸಸ್ತಾ 102- 8- 8 ಆಗುತ್ತೆ.

ಇವತ್ತೆ. 116- 2- 8 ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆ.

Net Excess 13-10- 0 ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ

The 2nd item of *relief sought* is "the refund of Excess money viz. Rs. 13-10-0" for which please see *putta*.$

The 3rd head of *relief sought* is "the return of land resumed by Government" for which please see para XIV of petition.

The 4th head of the *relief sought* is "the compensation for losses sustained on account of land No. $\frac{7}{150}$" for which please see para XII of petition.

The 5th and the last head of *relief sought* is "the return of stack of raggi crop of land No. $\frac{7}{150}$ valued at Rs. 100." It is not accounted for. Vide Explanation under Mayasandra lands.

THE above is a recital of the irregularities and mischief making of the Hobli Shekdar, of the harassing apathy of the Amildar, and of the generous screening of subordinates on the part of the District Officer. A patient perusal of this rather long account of misfortune and an equitable and just redress of grievances is what the petitioner earnestly prays for at the hands of the Executive Head of the Administration.

132

(34)

160

F. Q. 53-79-82

To The ~~Dy. Commr.~~ Supdt of Revenue

~~Tumkur Distt.~~

Dated 13 August 1883.

No. 340

No 1602 dt 19 Nov 1883.
Recd in August 1883.

In forwarding the accompanying petition from Kadab Ramiengar of Magasandra in the Gubbi Taluk, have the honor to request that a report may be submitted stating whether the lands under the Bommanahalli Tank which was once restored by the Petr. at his own cost, have been fully assessed by the Survey, what extent of land under the Tank is in the holding of Petr. and what extent in that of other ryots, and whether in fixing the rate of assess the Survey Deptt. took into consideration the fact of the restoration of the Tank by Petr. at his own expense.

Reminder sent 20/5/84.

161 133

The Supts' opinion is also requested as to the advisability of granting to Peter a favourable rate of 3/4ths of the full annual assessment for lands in his holding under the Tank, in the event of his again restoring it

9/8/83

towards such arrears by the petn and the balance now due after deducting the minimum amounts sanctioned in the orders quoted above.

An English precis of the attachment proceedings showing the dates and amounts for which the attachments were made shd also accompany the report.

(General Serial No. 31.)

162

134

1883

From

35

L.Q. 55-77-33

To

Dy Commr
Tumkur.

Dated

Despatched 23 ? August 1883

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No.

No. 351

In forwarding the accompg petn from Kadaba Ra-
-maiengar has the honor to request a report with re-
-ference to the late Chief Commr's orders dated 13th April 1880, No. 205/8, in which half remission of arrears was sanctioned and the collection of Handayem from the year 1881-82 insisted upon.

The Dy Commr will submit a statement showing the arrears due from the fa-
-mine year against the land in Hagarandoo and Bommenhalli and the Dakewar payments

cost, have been taxed 8 Rs: per acre - a fact which on the very face of it shews that no line of distinction has been drawn between people who construct tanks at their own cost such as your petitioner and those holding lands under Government tanks.

The Survey Department on a representation made to it promise to settle the lands at 2 Rs: 4 annas: per acre or minus ¼ of the raised Survey Candaya. This does no good to petitioner - the rate of assessment on his lands being identical with those obtaining under Govt: tanks.

Under these circumstances your petitioner prays that his lands may be continued to him on the same term of assessment as that fixed by the Survey Dept: on dry Koodo or minus ¼ of the raised Survey Candaya.

For which act of kindness your petitioner will as in duty bound ever pray —

Mysore —
9th November 1882

Vide order on number
Dy Commr's no 82 dt 11-5-88

163

(12)

8d No 2672.
2014.

To,

(39)

C. V. Runga Charloo Esqre C.I.E.
Dewan to His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore

Most Respected Sir,

Your petitioner canvas the Somarahally tank to be constructed at a cost of Rs: 4,000/. Previous to its construction he was Kuttadar of the lands under this tank paying Candayem at the Kooshky rate viz: 5 annas per dry Koodo. After the construction of the tank the lands below it were confirmed to petitioner at the rate of annas 2½ per Tari Koodo which would amount to 4 annas of the original Kooshky or dry Koodo. At this rate the assessment on each acre of land comes to Rs: 1½. The Survey Department have now raised the Candaya to 3 Rs: per acre and settled it to petitioner at 2 Rs 4 ann: per acre or minus ¼ of the raised Survey Candaya. This proceeding is irregular.

The ryots holding lands under tanks the construction of which never knew their labor nor any portion of

(General Serial No. 29.)

18.84 11.

From Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Dated Tumkur 1st April 1884

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 record

General No. / No. 4 } 1884-85.

The Secretary's Docket No. 3577 20 August last forwarding for report a petition from Mayasandra Ramingar

In reply, has the honor to submit a memo received from the General Assistant Commissioner Tumkur with his Docket No. 860 29th Ultimo furnishing the information called for in the above.

2. The petition is herewith returned.

Inst. H. K. B.

Offg: Deputy Commr.

3. 4. 84

Policy

noted

Reply to the D.C. to say that Rt. Ramingar produces an acct. under which he shows that he is liable to pay to Govt. on acct of Bommenahalli & Mayasandra lands Rs 221. 4. 4 & not Rs. 192. 4. 6 as shown by the Tahsildar. This acct. shows an acct of Mayasandra lands a balance of Rs - 32-1-0 (x enclosure)

On acct of Bommenahalli lands Rs 189. 3. 4

221. 4. 4

x with vernacular records

The Dep. Comr. will again examine the accts. and ~~say for his it actual balance due, after crediting 1/2 assessment on Mayasandra~~ report the exact amt. of balance due.

2. On acct. of Maya Sandra lands for the year

166
L.Q. 53. 77-35

36/a

Memo

The Canarese records submitted by the Deputy Amildar of Turvekere in re Ramiengar of Moyasandra show that this individual held lands at Bommenahally and Moyasandra.

Rupees 121-12-2 and not Rs. 120-3-5* as alleged by Ramiengar in his Memorial to the Dewan were due by him up to the year Pramadi for Moyasandra lands Nos. 107, & 177. A Ragi stack estimated to contain 2 candies of Ragi and lands held by him under the Moyasandra Tank were attached in satisfaction of this amount on 22nd and 20th January 1880 respectively and the lands were notified to be sold on the 8th March following. It is not known how the Ragi stack was disposed of though the Shekdar* now states that it was taken away by the owner himself. This is not borne out by any record. Ramiengar having paid Rs. 120 in cash to the Gubbi Amildar on 6th March an order dated 7th idem was issued to Shekdar Bhairappa of Moyasandra to stop the sale pending further orders. This is said to have reached the Shekdar on the 8th idem after he put up the lands for sale but however no body came forward to bid for the same.

The balance Rupees 1-12-2 due by the

* Pet. must be explain how he arrives at this figure. He says it is from arrears of rents of the ent. of lands Nos 180, 25 & 19.

* Shekdar

18/4/84

(141)

No. 149

14/2/88

5-3-77-36

(37)

[illegible]

Remember to issue orders as directed by the Dewan Bahadur on 21/5/37

(147)

Ramasingar of Mayavaramda, Tiptur Taluk.

1937. R. 53-77-37

(38)

States that he has been favored with an endorsement in reply to his appeal petition for the reversal of the endorsement granted him by the Revenue Survey Department. This endorsement confirms the original endorsement. Prays that the rate of Rs 2-14-0 per acre of land situated below the tank of Bommenhalli, belonging to him, fixed by the Survey Deptt may be cancelled, and that instead, assessment may be levied at the rate of Re 1-3-0, the highest rate of the village on bordered dry lands; and that stayed the decision so against him pray H. Highness' Government may be pleased to sanction the sum of Rs 1500 for the restoration of the tank in question. The Bommenhalli tank which has till now been maintained in thorough order at his own expense.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No. 47.

(145)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
1387
30 MAY 84

1884. 92.

175

L.R. 53-70-84

From The Superintendent
Revenue Survey and Assessment,
Mysore.

To The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated
Despatched } 28th May 1884.
Received

(40)

ENCLOSURES No. 1

No. 138 of 1884.

Submitting the report called for in Secretary's Docket No. 340 of the 13th August 1883 on the complaint of Kadale Ramappa of Maisandra, Gubbi Taluk.

30-5-84
78

148

No. 138 | 78 Survey Supt's Office
Mysore 28th May 1884

To

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to for-
-ward the required report on the
petition of Kadal Ramiengar,
called for in your Docket No.
340 of the 13th August last, and
again in reminder No. 137 of
the 22nd Instant. This re-
-port has, I regret to say,
been delayed owing to an
oversight in my Office.

2 The Petitioner holds
lands under the Bommanhalle
tank, as follows.

Survey No.	Area	Assessment
26	11. 15	33. 0. 0
30	11. 10	32. 0. 0
33	5. 8	15. 0. 0
	27. 33	80. 0. 0

1st There is also the following land, assessed at dry rates, which is stated to be in the possession of the Petitioner and of which perhaps one or two acres could be cultivated as wet.

Survey No.	Area	Assessment
25	13. 35	10. 0. 0

In the possession of others there are the following lands.

Survey No.	Area	Assessment
27	0. 12	1. 0. 0
28	0. 25	1. 12. 0
29 Dry	3. 10	3. 0. 0
31 Dry	1. 10	1. 0. 0
	5. 17	6. 12. 0.

There is waste assessed land as follows.

Survey No.	Area	Assessment
23 ~~Dry~~	12. 35	14. 0 0
24 dry	10. 10	7. 8. 0
	23. 5	21. 8. 0

The

The total area under the tank thus comes to 70 acres and 10 guntas assessed at Rs. 118.4.0 of which 41 acres 28 guntas are in the possession of the Petitioner.

3 In assessing the lands under the tank no consideration was of course given to the fact of the Petitioner having re-stored the tank at his own cost. A remission of 1/4th of the wet assessment is usually given, the tank being in good order, if the applicant can prove that he repaired or constructed the tank under authority, but it is obvious that, at the time of classification, it would not have been right to allow for this, indeed the probability is that the Classing Officer did not know the tank was a private one, at any rate, if he did, he could not know that the claim was a just one,

and

and he accordingly classed the lands just as he would any other Government lands. In fixing the assessment I could not make any distinction between these and other lands.

4 I have myself frequently inspected the tank under report. It is breached and a report to this effect has also been made to me by the Deputy Commissioner in his Vernacular letter No. 34/51 of the 15th August last.

5 The assessment fixed by this Department is on the basis of the normal supply of water when the tank was in order.

6 The Petitioner cannot claim the tank as a private one as it is breached and he has not fulfilled the necessary

conditions

conditions. But as (15D) the tank is now breached and is not likely to be repaired by Government the dry and wet rates can be shewn separately by this Department and a statement furnished to the Deputy Commissioner who can levy the former until the tank is repaired. It rests with Government to take such steps as it considers right towards restoring this tank and as regards the question I am asked, as to whether I would recommend the granting to the Petitioner a favourable rate of 3/4ths of the full assessment should be restore the tank, I beg to state that the only objection I can see is that in a trivial case like the present one a precedent would be established, which might be inconveniant, before the general

question

question is disposed of.

(8)

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedt Servant

D Lewis

Superintendent Mysore
Revenue Survey

(General Serial No. 31.)

1884.

From L. Q. S 3-77-39

To The Supt Revenue & Forest Lumbar

Dated 18 August

Despatched –

Received

Enclosures No. Verbiage

General No. 3158-9
No. 163

Complaint of Ramayya of Kaimundra regarding the imposition of restrictions on certain portion of his land.

Peter Brown

Endorsed

183

viz. Rs 65-10-0 - The Dy Comm.r is accordingly requested to allot, in communication with the Survey Superintend.t 43-36-64 (A G yds) of land (equal to 21¼ wet candies) under the Bommenhalli Tank to Ramiengar on an assessment of Rs 65-10-0 Ramiengar must pay full survey assessment on the remainder of the lands held by him under the tank - The Enclosures are returned

"By order"

draft

Sig.

Secretary

184

Read: Survey Superintendent's letter No. 138 of the 28 May 1884, reporting on the petition of Kadaba Ramiengar of Mavandur, Subbi Taluk.

(41)

No. 315 8-9 / 163 of 18. 8. 84.

Order.

Before the introduction of the Survey and Settlement into the late Kadab Taluk Petr. Ramiengar held K. 21 K. 5 Ka. 0 of wet land under the Bommenhalli Tank on an assessment of Rs. 65-10-0, at the favorable rate of 2½ Annas per Cuder which was conceded in consideration of his having restored the tank. During the Settlement, ~~full assessment was imposed upon the land~~ - Against this Ramiengar complains and asks that the rate of Rs 2. 14. 0 per acre adopted by the Survey Deptt. in assessing the land in question may be reduced to Rs. 1-3. 0 - This request

an extent of 27 A. 33 G. out of Petr's holding was classed as wet land and assessed at Rs. 80, @ Rs. 2 As. 14 - 0 per Acre and the remainder was assessed at dry rates.

~~to abserd and~~ cannot be granted. But in consideration of the Expense incurred by Ramiengar in restoring the tank, the Dewan is prepared to allow him an area equal to Nos 2 & 1/4 Candies held by him before the settlement, on the same assessment, viz, Rs 5-10-0. The Dy Comr. is accordingly requested to allot, in communication with the Survey Supdt, A. G. 43-36-6½ of land (equal to 21 1/4 Nos Candies) under the Bommenhalli Tank to Ramiengar on an assessment of Rs 65/10/0. Ramiengar must pay full Survey assessment on the remainder of the lands held by him under the tank.

To The Supdt. Mysore Ser Survey
... Dy Comm. Tumkur &c.
returning Ver. & papers

(155)

18 Office of the Dewan of Mysore
D. Bangalore 19 August 1886

No 1602

Endorsement

Petition dated 19 November 1882 from Kadab Ramiengar of Mayesandra in the Gubbi Talak -

Order -

Before the introduction of the Survey and settlement into the late Kadab Talak Petitioner Ramiengar held K - Ku 11
21 - 5 - 0
of wet land under the Bommenhalli Tank for an assessment of Rs 65-10-0 at the favourable rate of 2½ annas per cubu, which was conceded in consideration of his having restored the tank. During the settlement H S an extent of 27 - 33 out

of Petitioner's holding was classed as wet land and assessed at Rs 80. @ R 2 - 14 - 0 per acre and the remainder was assessed at dry rates. Against this, Ramningar complains and asks that the rate of Rs 2. 14 - per acre adopted by the Survey Department in assessing the land in question, may be reduced to Rs 1 - 3 -. This request cannot be granted. But in consideration of the expenses incurred by Ramningar in restoring the tank, the Dewan is prepared to allow him an area equal to the 21¼ candies held by him before the settlement, on the same assessment

[illegible]

Pole 4 entitled to no Concession under the Government Order - The utility certificate in Proc. No. 315/2/103 dt. 18 Sept 1884 were very liberal & unauthorised by the Government & affected the rights of the Ryots & lands equitable to the Government.

Cancel the Order in question.

Letter to the Collector of Malabar.

Order in Council

Thus the Order in question is cancelled.

Collector of Malabar

Collector of Malabar 12/11/85

Government Pleader 17-1-85

(43)

Government Pleader

1633

12-12-84

Government Pleader

MR RE

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1912 162

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

Dated Bangalore 17 Jany 85

L.Q. 5-3-77

No 2633

Endorsement. (44)

In reply to his Petn of 12th Decr 84, Ramiengar of Magasamudra in the Tiptur Taluk is informed that he is entitled to no concession such as he now applies for. The orders contained in Proc: No 3158-9/163 of 18th August 84 were very liberal and are final. If within 3 months from this day he fails to appear before the Dy Commr and forget wet lands equivalent to 21¼ wet Candies duly allotted to him in accordance with those orders, it will be necessary for Govt to withdraw altogether the concession therein granted.

B.O.

No 904 of 19/1/85.

Draft: Dy Secy.

Copy to Dy Commr Tumkur ~~Bangalore~~ for information.

293 163

1885.

From The Superintendent Mysore Revenue Survey

To The Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner General Department~~ the Dewan of Mysore

LQ. 53-77-42.

Dated } 11th November 85
Despatched }
Received }

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 65 } 1885-86.
No. }

Reporting that the Dewan's orders given in Proceedings No. 3158-9/163 dated 18th August 1884 regarding Ramaiengar's claim have not yet been carried out

Headqs Refers

13/11

19-11-85

19-11-85

For reply inform the Survey Supt. that the orders of 18 August 84 might carried in the way proposed. ~~with by giving Pattas.~~

~~Refs 26, 30, 33~~

The original arrangt with Ramaiengar was to give him 21½ Kandis on a fixed rent of Rs 65-10-0 & his case does not come under the general rules for the restoration of lands by private individuals.

9/12/86.

No 8157 Survey Supt's Office
Bangalore the 11th November
1885

45

To,
The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Dewan that the orders given in Dewan's Proceedings No. 3158-9/163 dated 18th August 1884 to put Ramiengar in possession of A. G. Yds. 43. 36. 64 on an assessment of Rs. 65. 10. 0 have not yet been carried out.

2 The Deputy Commissioner in his Vernacular No. 70 of the 15th August last proposes that the land to be given Ramiengar shall be Survey Nos. 26, 30, 33, acres 27 guntas 33, assessed at Rs. 80 (already in his possession) and Survey No. 23,

12

A. G.
12.35 assessed at ~~Rs~~ 195 165 Rs 14 (waste) –
A. G.
in all 40. 28 bearing Survey
assessment of Rs 94, the extent
being a little short of A. G. yds. 43.36.64
being considered immaterial.

3 The matter can thus
be disposed of, but before
finally carrying out the
above proposals I deem it
my duty to bring to the
notice of the Dewan, that
the fact of the Bimankalli
tank being breached has
apparently been overlooked
and that other holders of
lands under private tanks,
all of whose claims have
been disallowed if the tank
was not in efficient order,
may consider the terms ..

Granted

granted to Ramiengar, who has observed none of the conditions entitling him to favourable rates, to be in marked contrast to the treatment they themselves have met with.

4 If the fact of the tank being breached, and badly breached and altogether, so far as I was able to judge on inspection, a very bad piece of work, has not escaped the Dewan's notice, I shall at once carry into effect the Orders of 18th August 1884.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant
J. Hunt
Superintendent Mysore
Rail. Survey

MYSORE

167

2605

46

1164

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

Send for ~~[illegible]~~ Bheemarao's order
of 4 Octr 1875 with Peshwa's signature
[illegible] to [illegible]
Endorsement of 9 Jany 1882

26/11/85

1R 1889.

(47)

Petition of Ramiengar of Mayasamudra in the Tiptur Taluk dated 13th November 1885.

States that on submitting his case in the matter of his land No. 150 situated below the Mayasamudra Tank, the Dewan directed him personally to appear before the Deputy Comr, represent his case to him and make a fresh durkhast for the same: this he did & also put in a fresh durkhast as wa ordered, to the Taluk authorities, no justice was meted out to him.

The said No. as well as the adjoining lands were treated as "Bagayet": As these are situated in the margin of the Tank, Petitioner was, at the time of the acceptance of his original durkhast, directed by the then Amildar to leave a sufficient portion to the extent of 5 yards, just immediately bordering the tank, for purposes which may be required by Govt. whilst repairing

the tank in question, as laid down in the Rules of the Engineering Deptt, and take up the rest: that in accordance with this order, Petr left 10 yards of it and cultivated the remaining portion: the land was also surveyed subsequently: to refuse the grant of such land to him now is unjust: relying on the original order, he laid out 450 Rs in bringing up the land fit for cultivation & planted 600 plantain trees thereon: had also paid 110 Rs Cundayam, at the rate of Rs: 18-10-- per annum.

There were 5 pits on the tank bund: the work of filling up 4 pits was given out on Contract, which was duly Executed: the Contract of the 5th pit was taken up by the Cusba Shékdar & Anche Mutsuddis who, instead of obtaining soil required for the work from the margin of the tank which was specially allotted for the purpose, as was done by the other Contractors, have thus sid, dug up huge pits in his land, thus causing loss to Petr & damage to his land: the Complained against this to the Dis.to authorities & claimed 600 Rs

301

No. 553

L. A. 53-77-45

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

48

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 2605, dated 13th November 1885 received at the Dewan's Office from Ramiengar of Mayasamudra Tiptur Taluk

is forwarded, together with its 2 enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District for submission of Bheemarao's Order dated 4th October 1875 with petitioner's signature under it referred to in the accompanying Endorsement dated 9th January 1882.

Draft

BANGALORE,
Camp Jaypore
26 Nov. 1885.

By Order,

Secretary.

From the same to 22 Dt 16-4-87

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-9-85.—2,000.

202

as compensation for the loss, but no redress was granted; as thro' the partiality of Govt officials, Petitioner has been directed to relinquish the land in Question.

Prays that either the land may be restored to him together with the probable cost of filling up of the pits & render it fit for cultivation or, in the event of Govt reserving the land for purposes connected with the Tank work, orders may be issued for the payment of the actual cost viz: 560 incurred by him towards it.

Translation of Bheemrow's order dated 4th Octr 1875

In the matter of the Durkhast for land bearing No. 581. 152

Durkhastdar present.

Applicant applies for land No. 152: the rate of assessment on lands below the Mazasamudra Tank is Rs: 1-12-2 per Kolaga: ~~trees~~ Durkhastdar shall have no right to the trees standing on the land: Sufficient margin should be allotted for digging

203 172

up soil which may be required for repairing the tank: applicant offers to pay assessment at 8 annas per Kolaga for the land applied for: as applicant accepts the above conditions, his Durkhast is accepted & the land may be measured & given out to him for cultivation

Vedagakeré Ramanna's Takiran is rejected.

Submitted for orders

30/4

MYSORE

49



[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
२N-४-०	२N-४-०	२N-४-०	२N-४-०
२-६२	२-६२	२-६२	२-६२
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]





2833 84
17-4-86

(General Serial No. 31.)

212 16

188.

From

L.A. 53-77-47 (50)

To

The Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey.

Dated 19/4/86. April 1886

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 694

Pet. No. 36 Reg

His letter no 657 dated 11th November 1885 regarding Rama Iyengar's claim to the grant of certain acres of land.

In reply to the above, informs that the order of the 18th Aug/84 may be carried in the way proposed.

The original arrangement with Rama Iyengar was to give him 2½ Kundis as a fixed rent of Rs 65-10-0 and his case does not come

come under the general rules for the restoration of tanks by private individuals.

273

B.O

Draft

Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
6488
6 - DEC. 86
386

From Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

To Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur 30th November 1886.

Despatched 4th January

Received

Enclosures No 2

General No. } 188 6—87.
No. 408

Begs to submit a statement of irrecoverable balances in the Taluks of Tiptur including Turvekere sub Taluk and in the sub Taluk of Huliyur for 1885-86.

2. The statements of the remaining Taluks will follow.

Deputy Commissioner

RR-5-3-77-4

6/12

To Gl. Revenue

Please put up his Proc 315 8-9 168 18/5/84 and the papers connected therewith. These papers are urgently required.

23/3

Government Records

Please see No 65 dated 11th November 1885 from Supt Revenue Survey

23/3

Supt H 6 6 86

Acctt Dr 21-85

3/3

Read

Docket No 4088 30th

List from the Dy: Commr: Tumkur Dt, submitting for sanction a remission statement of the Tipturtaluk & the Turuvekere & Huliyar Sub-taluks for Rs 400-1-3

(52)

Tipturtaluk . . . Rs 119-9-11
Turuvekere Sub-tk.. 271-1-10
Huliyar " . . . 9-5-6
Total Rs 400-1-3

No. 165-6 d/Bangalore 9 April/87 Order thereon.

The writing-off of Rs 119-9-11 against the Tipturtaluk is sanctioned

L. Rev: . . . . Rs 113-11-8
B. Mark charges . . . 5-14-3
Total Rs 119-9-11

2. Of Rs 271-1-10 (involved in 10 cases) to be remitted in the Turuvekere Sub-taluk Rs 234/13/6 recommended in case No 18 is observed to consist of 2 items viz Rs 112/14/9 & Rs 121/14/9. As regards the first item, wh is the assessment of the bed of a tank restored by defaulter Rumiengar, it is stated that he demurs to pay it on the ground that the Order of 1866 authorizing the construction of the tank, allows him to raise, free of rent, any crop in the bed. This item is, however, disallowed, pending the submission of the order referred to for reference. The 2nd item of Rs 121-14-9, wh is said to be the excess assessment paid by the said defaulter on the 2 g. 33 as of land in his possession below the tank in question, will be written off the accounts in accordance with B's Proc No. 315/163 & 18th August 184, as also the sum of Rs 36-4-6

in the remaining 9 cases,
particulars of Rs 158/2/1 are
as follows:—

L. Rs: . . . Rs 142.5.7
Mohs . . . 2. . . .
B. Mark charges . . 13.13.6
Total Rs 158.3.1

3. The sum of Rs 9—5—6 as hereinbelow mentioned below, will be struck off the accounts of the Hudigar sub-table:—

L. Rs: . . . Rs 6.12.2
Mohs 2.9.4
Total Rs 9.5.6

Under Secty

To
The Dy: Commr: Tumkur Dist.
" Comptroller.
6 s

(General Serial No. 31.)

1887.8

From

To The Dy Commissioner Tumkur District

Dated 9th April 1887

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 to 6

General No. 165—6
No. 7

Forwarded for approval

Forwarded same No 2821-49

Refer
20/4/87

Sir
Submitted
23/4/87

Noted
21/4/87

(General Serial No. 29.)

(146)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
372
20 APR 87
18

1887

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
L.R. 53-77-49

(53)

To
Chief
The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 16th April 1887.

Despatched 18

Received

Enclosures No. Record

General No.
No. 22 } 1887—88.

The Chief Secretary's Memo.
No. 553 of 26th November 1885.—

As called for in the above, begs to forward copy Bheema Row's order dated 4th October 1875 with petitioner's signature under it.

2.— The enclosures of the Memo quoted above are returned

for Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur

Upon Petr's representation that the Proys vended by Cumildar Bhima Rao on ~~the 4th~~ 4 Oct 1875 did not contain his signature as alleged, ~~and~~ I have sent for and examined those Proys. Petr has as a matter of fact signed them ~~thereunder~~ in token of his approval.

The land Petr never have been given out for cultn but always reserved for the Service of the State and I decline to interfere therefore with the Dy C's order excluding it from his Patta.

17/5/87

Intd to Petr as above and copy to DC.
Dated 17.5.87.

Forwarded to the Petr
Government Rank
28/4

Submd
1/5/87

28

Refer
23/4/ MR

Sec Submitted
MR
25 4 87

Mr Acott
27/4/ MR

(General Serial No. 29.)

1887.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
443.
23 APR. 87
27

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
R.O. 53-77-50.

To
His Highness
The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

(54)

Dated 21st April 1887.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.
No. 28 | 1887—88.

The Dewan's Proceedings
No: 160 1/6 / 7 Dated 9th instant:-

As called for in the second para of the above, begs to submit copy of the order of 1866 allowing Ramiengar to raise free of rent any crop in the bed of his private Tank.-

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

333

54/a

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1866.

[illegible]

Compared

"Copy"

Deputy Comr.

(General Serial No 31.)

188

From

(5)

To

The Dy: Commr
Sunkur Dt

Dated 5th
Despatched } May/89
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 603
No. 21

His No. 28 of 21st ult enc
Copy of Dy: Commr's Order of
allowing one Ramunga to
free of rent, any crop in the
of his private tank in the
we have Sub-lated.

With reference to the
Engineers whether the Dy: Com
Order a special was based
any Order issued in the instance
~~authorities~~ question as
~~of canals which should be com~~
~~submitted to this office~~ — The
standing orders for construction
of private tanks do not con
this right —

By order

C. S

Under Secy

Rev.

No. 2605

22-53-77

56

Endorsement.

In reply to his petition d/ 13th. Novr. 1885, Ramiengar of Magadandra in the Tiptur Taluk is informed that, upon his representation that the proceedings recorded by Amildar Bhima Rao on 4th. October 1875 in re the grant of land No 150 below the Magadandra Tank, did not contain his (Petrs) signature as alleged, the Dewan has sent for and examined those proceedings - Petr has, as a matter of fact, signed them in token of his ap-proval. The land in question should never have been given out for cultivation, but always reserved for the service of the tank, and the Dewan therefore declines to interfere with the Dy Comrs order excluding it from Petrs Putta.

Enclosure is returned.

By Order

Chief Secy

C. No 538. 17.5.87

Copy to The Dy. Commr., Tumkur Distt with reference to his letter No 22 d/ 16th. April 1887. Amildar Bhima Rao's ~~for~~ order d/ 4th. October 1875 is herewith returned.

(General Serial No. 51.)

188

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. _____

No.

(General Serial No. 28.)

1887.

From Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 12. May 1887

Despatched 18.

Received

Enclosures No 2

General No.
No. 66 } 1887—88.

Submits for orders the petition from Ramanjar with precis of correspondence regarding the remission of assessment of the lands below Bommanahalli Tank Turuvekere Sub Talukk.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
1141
20 MAY 87

No. 66

From Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District
12th May 1887.

(57)

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I forwarding herewith the accompanying petition from Ramaiengar with the enclosed pieces of correspondence regarding his case I have the honor to state with reference to the last order on the subject that under Government Circular only an extent of 40 acres and 28 guntas was available and was given over to Ramaiengar and he has in his turn been made to pay a proportionate kandayam on the basis sanctioned by the C's Dewan's order No. 3158-9/163 of 15th August 1884 I solicit C's sanction to this arrangement.

Regarding the past arrears although the order of the late

Sanction
Bd
18/6

Cf

Chief Commissioner's No. 205/8 of 13th April 1880 clearly rules that he is not entitled to any further remission yet Ramaiyan assures me that both the late and the present Dewan's have promised to give him remission if a recommendation to that effect were submitted by this Office. Endorsement No. 2905 also favours his contention. I have accordingly given the subject my best consideration. As the Dewan is aware Ramaiyan has been till very recently fighting out his case and had no time to repair the tank. Moreover the bed which was cultivated had been assessed and as under the final order of the Dewan No. 3158-9/168 of 18th August 1884 the ateh. Kat land has been finally settled. I have removed the assessment of the bed under Ramaiyan has been thus enabled to begin his repairs or make his own arrangements with regard to his tank. I solicit

solicit that under the special circumstances of this case sanction may be given to remit one half of Kandayam from the year 1881-82 to 1886-87 amounting to Rs. 137 as shown in margin. With the exception of this amount the petitioner has paid up all the arrears on this tank.

1881-82	32-13-
1882-83	20-14-
1883-84	20-14-
1884-85	20-14-
1885-86	20-14-
1886-87	20-14-
	137-3-

Regarding the assessment upon the bed of the tank reference is solicited to the Subah Rao's Letter Camp No. 3 of 1st February 1882 wherein that officer states that as a rule and in accordance with usage such beds are allowed to be cultivated free of assessment and Ramalingayya has also produced an order to the same effect from Colonel Bruce one of the late Deputy Commissioners of this District. The special circumstances to which C.V. Subarao refers are rather for the remission of the Kandayam of the atchkat and not for the assessment of the bed which for temporary

The reason why the tank is not supplying the lands of the petitioner is because the available irrigation spirit is no longer sufficient for the existing requirements.

Q. Is the question of balance 1886 to 1887 [illegible]

temporary reasons is brought under cultivation. Under the circumstances I solicit that the arrears on this account amounting to $150-9-0 may be remitted. A portion of this amount having been included in the Salt remission statement has formed the subject of another correspondence (the last reference being C.P. 603/21 Dated 5th May 1887) from your office to which this communication may be accepted as a reply.

The favour of early orders is solicited.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. Servant.

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Yes may be remitted as requested
[illegible]
10-3-1887
$150-9-0

Policum
[illegible]

[illegible]

Precis of correspondence relative to the claim of Ramaiengar of Mayasandra, Kadab. to remission of assessment owing to the breach of his Tank at Bommenahalli.

57/a

Dockets Nos. 137 and 180 dated 16th and 21st May 1877 from and to the Commissioner, Vandiding Du. also Proceedings of the late Chief Comr. No. 1803/110 of 25th August 1877:-

On the recommendation of the Dy Commissioner for remission of assessment of Rs 67-10-10 due by Ramaiengar for 1875-76, on the lands below his private Tank of Bommenahally, which breached, the Commissioner of Vandiding submitted the same for sanction to the Chief Commissioner, who in according sanction to only a fourth of the above amount, observed thus.

"It would appear from the proceedings in this case that the damage to the Tank was caused partly by heavy rains and partly by reason of petitioner having neglected to keep it in repair, and although it is not quite clear how far the allegation against Ramaiengar is true, that he bunded up the Tank so that, on the breaching of the Tank above, it necessarily breached, the Chief Commissioner thinks that a remission of 1/4th assessment is ample".-

No. 952 of 7th Feb: 1878 to Commissioner Vandiding:-

A revised statement for remission of Rs 16-14-8 was accordingly submitted to the Commissioner.

Official Memos: Nos. 1962/224 and 34444/422 of 11th August and 4th October 1879

Forwarding petitions from Ramaiengar to Dy Commissioner for disposal

disposal or report.

280

respectively from Secretary.

Letter No. 311 of 19th March 1880 to Secy. to Chief Commissioner.

Ramarengas complained that his Tank was breached by the bursting of the Government Hominakere Tank above, and prayed for its restoration at cost of Government and for remission of candayem for 1877-78 and 1878-79. The Dy Commissioner (Mr. R. Sheshadri Iyer) after personal inspection of the petitioners Tank and the one above it, as well as the lands below and in the bed of the former, reported that the Tank of Bommenahally was built by the petitioner, and the lands below, viz: 25 Candies were granted him at the rate of 02. a. P. 1-2-6 per Coodos, that in 1875-76, when the Tank was breached, the Commissioner recommended remission of full assessment on the lands below pending petitioner rebuilding the Tank, but the Chief Commissioner directed only a fourth of the assessment due for 1875-76 be remitted. Observing the breach of Petitioner's Tank was due to the faulty construction in as much as the level of the weir was unduly high, that a question arose about the Candayem of 1876-77 whereupon the Commissioner ordered that a fixed time be given to petitioner for restoring his Tank, during which he could not be called on to pay, but that if, after

93 after that time, he failed to restore the Tank he should be demanded to pay up the Candayem, and that accordingly a period of 3 months was allowed, but petitioner failed to comply and only prayed that the Government itself might either restore the Tank or pay him compensation for its loss, and that the Candayem due should also be remitted. The Commissioner further remarked that, even admitting that petitioner's Tank was breached by the bursting of the Government Tank above, his claim for compensation was not admissible on principle as he must have made his own Tank sufficiently strong to withstand such contingency. Looking, however, to the state of petitioner's land, which had always lain waste rendering even dry cultivation impossible owing to submersion during the rains, the Commissioner recommended that the Candayem for 3 years from 1877-78 to 1879-80 amounting to Rs 203-11-6 be remitted.

Petitioner having cultivated the bed of the Tank, the Deputy Commissioner ordered that he might be allowed to enjoy the same free of assessment till the end of 1880-81 as by which time he undertook to rebuild the Tank. Considering the usefulness of the Tank to the Bommenahally and surrounding Villagers and their cattle, the Deputy Commissioner stated that

petitioner

petitioner deserved to be granted some advance under the Land Improvement Act.

23. The Chief Commissioner ordered that no compensation could be granted to petitioner nor the remission of full assessment for the above 3 years, allowing petitioner to cultivate the land free, but sanctioned remission of only half the assessment for 4 years up to 1880-81 on the understanding that full assessment was recoverable thereafter.

Chief Commrs: Pro: No. 205/8 of 13-4-80

The Executive Engineer reported that, for repairing the Mayuranora Tank, earth had to be excavated from the garden land belonging to Ramaiengar, assessed at Rs 18-0-0 and requested that Ramaiengar be ordered not to interfere with digging. He stated that Ramaiengar obtained this land by Sharkast in 1875, and kept it waste for 6 years paying Cowdayem to Government which came up to about Rs 108-0-0, and that, if any compensation is at all payable, it should not exceed that amount.

No. 1055/403 of 2-6-81 from Executive Engineer.

The Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Subbrao) stated that, when he obtained the land in 1875, petitioner had agreed to allow Government to remove earth from the land as usual for Tank repairs, and that therefore no claim for compensation could

Deputy Commrs. No. 52 of 10-6-81

2/ Would be omitted. (201)

No. 2378/956 of 10-11-81 from Executive Engineer

Stating that Ramaiyangar would see the Executive Engineer, and requesting advice.

No. 199 of 17-11-81 to Executive Engineer.

Advising that the Executive Engineer may deferred the case by producing the Muchalika, executed by Ramaiyangar.

Secretary's Firyad memo. No. 8452/576 of 27th January 1882.

Forwards a petition from Ramaiyangar for submission of the records of the case.

No. 3 of 1-2-82 to Secretary.

Mr. Liba Rao, the deputy Commissioner reports that Rs 306-9-9 being the arrears of Candayem for 6 years from Dhatu to Vishu, were due by petitioner, and that, notwithstanding the advices given him by the Deputy Commr. to adhere to the Chief Commissioner's orders of 3rd April 1880, he persistently refused to pay up the arrears, and the consequence is that his lands were ordered to be attached and sold which fetched nothing.

The deputy Commissioner further brought to notice that petitioner having cultivated the bed of his breached Tank from 1875-76 was liable to pay to Govemt. an assessment aggregating at the rate ~~Rs~~ 132-13-1 for each year.

Endorsement No. 2905 of 10-2-82 from Secretary.

An endorsement was given to Ramaiyangar by the Chief Commissioner as follows.

"In reply to his petition of 24th January 1882, Ramaiyangar of Mayasandra in the Kadaba Taluk

Taluk of the Tinnevelly District,
34
Vis informed that remissions already granted were not conditional and will be still allowed. Attachment and attempted sale did not affect petitioners possession and right to cultivate. No further remission can be granted."-

Forwarding Ramaiengar's petition and asking to report as to what took place with reference to previous orders.

Secretary's Forward Memo No: 9507/664 of 18.3.82.

The Dy Commissioner reported that petitioner's land was sold on 15th February 1882 and fetched no bids; that the final orders of the 10th Idem having reached him on the evening of the 15th, he disposed of the case according to its terms.

Letter No. 84 of 11.5.82 to Secretary.

Out of the balance of Rs 306-9-9, shewn as due by petitioner, a sum of Rs 249-1-6 had to be deducted leaving still a balance against petitioner Rs 57-8-3. Of this balance a further sum of Rs 21-10-3 having to be deducted on account of the breach of Mayavanora Tank, a Government one, below which petitioner holds lands, the net arrears due amounts to 35-14-0. The amildar was ordered to recover and release the land from attachment.

The order of the Dewan runs thus:-

The Dewan's Pro No. 315-8-9/162 of 18th August 1884.

" Before the introduction of

"of the Survey Settlement into the late Kadale Falik, petitioner's Ramaningar held 21-5-0 of Wet-land under the Bommenahally Tank on an assessment of Rs 65-10-0 at the favorable rate of 2½ annas per cindu which was conceded in consideration of his having restored the Tank. During the settlement an extent of 27-33 out of petitioner's holding was classed as wet land and assessed at Rs 80, at Rs 2-14-0 per acre and the remainder was assessed at dry rates. Against this Ramaningar complains and asks that the rate of Rs 2-14-0 per acre adopted by the Survey Department in assessing the land in question may be reduced to Rs 1-3-0. This request cannot be granted. But in consideration of the expense incurred by Ramaningar in restoring the Tank the Dewan is prepared to allow him an area equal to the 21¼ candies held by him before the settlement, on the same assessment, viz. Rs 65-10-0. The Commissioner is accordingly requested to allot, in communication with the Survey Superintendent 43-36-64 of land (equal to 21¼ wet candies) under the Bommenahally Tank to Ramaningar on an assessment of Rs 65-10-0"

"Ramanengar must pay full survey assessment on the remainder of the lands held by him under the Tanks".—

Encloses to the effect that the concession granted in the above Proceedings is ample, and that if petitioner fails to appear before the Dy Commissioner and obtain the lands within 3 months, it will be necessary to withdraw the concession altogether.

Encloses Encouragement no. 2633 of 17 January 1885 given by the Dewan to Ramanengar.

According to the above Orders, petitioner was put in possession of as much lands available below the Tank viz: R. 8 40.28

Dy Commissioner
Tumkur District

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Read
Letter No 66 dd 12th Mar
from the Dy: Commr, Tum
dated, regarding remission
of assessment on one Ramaiengar's
lands below Bommenahalli
~~tank~~ tank, Turuvekere Sub-taluk.

Order thereon 1577/61 dd 29/6/87

In Ds' proc No 3158-40/163
18th August/84 it was ordered
that ac 43.36.2 gs 64 assessed at Rs
65/10/- should be given to Ramai-
engar; but in para 1 of the letter
read above it is stated that as
only ac 40.28 g were available
under the Bommenahalli
tank, this whole extent was
made over to him on condition
of his paying a proportionate
assessment thereon. It is
however enquired whether
it would not be desirable, in
the interests of Govt, to make up
the difference of ac 3.8.2 gs 64 by
allotting to the said individual
an equal area out of S. No 224
measuring ac 10.10 g assessed
at Rs 7/8/- which is stated to lie
waste in Survey Supdt's No 138 dd
20th May/84.

2. With regard to Rs 137.3.-
recommended for remission
in para 2 of the letter, it is ob-
served that Ramaiengar
is to blame for not repairing
the tank & utilizing the available
irrigation. His perverse and
continuous

spirit is no ground
sanctioning the remission.

2. As regards Rs 150.9.
referred to in para 3 of the letter,
the Dy: Commr is informed
that as Ramimangalam has
cultivated the bed of the tank
& reaped some profit only half
remission is sanctioned
Rs 85-4-6 from 1882-83 to 1885-86

(General Serial No 31.)

188

Pet.

From

To The Dy: Commr
Tumkur Dt

Dated

Despatched } 29th June 1887

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1577
No. 61.

Chief Secy

To
The Dy: Commr, Tumkur Dt.

Draft for approval

L.Q. 53-77-55 (211) (59) No 2250. 743

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE 4-11-87

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE 4-11-87

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ರಾಜಾಸಾಹೇಬ್ ಬಹದೂರವರ
ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ ಬಹದೂರ್ ಕೆ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿಅಯ್ಯರ್
ಬಿ.ಎ.; ಬಿ.ಎಲ್; ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಐ.; ರವರ ಜನಾಬಿಗೆ.

ತಿಬಟೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೋಕು ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರದ ರಾಮ್ಯೆಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರು ಶೃತಪಡಿಸುವ ದೇನಂದರೆ:---

ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಪೂರ್ಣವಾದ ನ್ಯಾಯವು, ಧರ್ಮವು, ಪರೋಪಕಾರವು, ಪ್ರಜಾಸಂರಕ್ಷಣೆಯು ಸಹ ತುಂಬಿರುವದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದು ೪೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಸಂದರುಶನವಾದ ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಶ್ರೇಯಃಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಬರುತ್ತಲಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮದೇವರು ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ದಯಮಾಡಿಸಿದರು. ಮುಂದೆಯೂ ಇತೋಽಪ್ಯಧಿಕವಾದ ಸುಖ ಸಂಪತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ತಮಗೆ ದಯಪಾಲಿಸಲಿ.

ನನ್ನ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೋಕು, ಸಬ್ಡಿವಿಜನ್ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನಡೆಸಿರುವ ದುರಾಗತ ಮತ್ತು ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹಿಸಲಾರದೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು, ನನ್ನ ಅಹವಾಲುಗಳನ್ನ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ೪ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಗರ್ಭಿತ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಚಿತ್ತದಲ್ಲಿ ಬ್ಯಾಸರವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ನೋಡಿ ನ್ಯಾಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಖೈರು ಫೈಸಲು ಹುಕುಂ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟು ಜಮೀನುದಾರನಾದ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಬೇಕು. ಮಹಾಪ್ರಭೋ.

ತಮ್ಮ ಶ್ರೇಯಃಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಕನಾದ,

ರಾಮ್ಯೆಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ರಾಜಾಸಾಹೇಬ್ ಬಹದೂರವರ

ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ ಬಹದೂರ್ ಕೆ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿಅಯ್ಯರ್

ಬಿ. ಎ; ಬಿ. ಎಲ್; ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಐ; ರವರ ಜನಾಬಿಗೆ

ತಿಬಟೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರದ ರಾಮಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರು ಶೃತಪಡಿಸುವದೇನಂದರೆ:—

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಜಕೂರು ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರದ ಕಸಬಾ ಕೆರೆಹಿಂದೆ ಇರುವ ನಂಬರು ೧೫೦ ನೇ ತರಿಬಾಗಾಯಿತು ಜಮೀನು ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಿಂದ ಸಾದರಾದ ಇದೇಯಿಸನಿ ಮೇ ತಾರೀಖು ೧೭ನೆ ಮರಕ್ಕು ೧೬೦೫ ನೇ ನುಬರು ಆರ್ಡರು ಅಗಿರುವದು ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿಧೆ. ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೇ ನು॥ ಜಮೀನು ನನ್ನರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಶಿವಾಯಿ ಡಿಪಟೀ ಕಮೀಷನರ್ ಮೇಸ್ಟರ್ ಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯರು ನನ್ನ ಜಾತೆಗೆ ಕಮ್ಮಿಹಾಕಿಶಿರುವದು ಅಕ್ರಮ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೊರ್ವಾಪರ ನಡದಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಕೆಬಗ್ಯೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಚಿತ್ತದಲ್ಲಿ ಬ್ಯಾಸರವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಪರಾಮ್ಮರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

ಸುಮಾರು ೭೮ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತುಮಕೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಮೆ ॥ ಸೀ. ಪರಸ್ಪಾಹೇಬರವರು ಡಿಪಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರವರಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಗುಬ್ಬಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಜಮಾಬಂದಿಬಗ್ಯೆ ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರದ ಬಂಗಲೆಗೆ ಬಂದರು. ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಅವರು ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರಕ್ಕೆಬಂದು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನೂತನವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರೊಲೀಸುಠಾಣಾಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಥಳ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಿಸುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಕರೇಶಿಕೊಂಡರು. ಆ ಸ್ಥಳನಿರ್ದೇಶವಾದನಂತರ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ರವರು ಬಂಗಲೆಗೆ ಹೊರಟುಹೋಗುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರದ ಕೆರೆಯಮೇಂಡಿನಮೇಲೆ ಸವಾರೀ ಹೊರಟರು. ಸಂಗಡ ಗುಬ್ಬಿ ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್ ಭೀಮರಾವ್ ಪಂತರು, ನಾನು ಮೊದಲಾದ ಬಹಳ ಜನರು ಇದ್ದೆವು. ಆಗ ಸವಿೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಜಮೀನುಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬಿತ್ತು. ಈಕೆರೆಹಿಂದೆ ಒಂದುಕೊಳಗ ಜಮೀನುಕೂಡ ಬಂಜರು ಇಲ್ಲ. ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟವು ನಗದಿಯಾತುಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಆಗಿಯಿಧೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಇಷ್ಟು ಭಾರೀಜಮೀನುಬಂಜರುಬಿದ್ದು ಇರುತ್ತೆ! ಇದೇನು? ಎಂದು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರರನ್ನುಕೇಳಿದರು. ಈ ಕೆರೆಹಿಂದಲ ಜಮೀನುಗಳೆಲ್ಲವು ಪ್ರೂರ್ವ ಬಟಾಯಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನು ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಶಾನುಭಾಗರ ಹಿಡುವಳಿತೋಟವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಈಗ ಅರೂಪಕವಾಗಿ ಪಾಳುಬಿದ್ದುಇಧೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಮಾವಿನಮರ ಹುಣಸೇಮರ ಹಿಪ್ಪೇಮರ ಇವಗಳ ಫಲವನ್ನು ವಾರ ಕೊಡದೆ ಶಾನುಭಾಗರೇ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂದಾಯವ್ರೂ ಕೊಡುವದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬದಾಗಿಯು ಈ ಶಾನುಭಾಗರ ಭಯದಿಂದ ಈ ಜಮೀನು ನಗದೀಯಾತು ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗೆ ಯಾರೂ ಮಾಡದೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆಂಬುವದು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಜಾಣೇರಾತಿಗೆಬಂತು. ಆಗ ಅಲ್ಲೇ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಕರೆದು ಈ ಜಮೀನು ಉತ್ತಮವಾದದ್ದು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಧೃವಪೈರು ಬಾಗಾಯಿತು ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೇದಾಗುತ್ತೆಂತಲು ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ನೀನು ಮಾಡೆಂದು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಪಡಿಶಿದರು. ಅವರ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಶಿರಸಾ ವಹಿಶಿದೆ. ಆ ದಿವಸವೇ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಜಮಾಬಂದಿವ್ಯಾಳೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನುನಲ್ಲಿ ಧೃವಪೈರು ಬಾಗಾಯಿತು ಮಾಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ದರಖಾಸ್ತುಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಅದು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿಗೆಬಂದು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರಗೂವದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಸಬಾ ಶಾನುಭಾಗರು ಈ ಜಮೀನು ತಮ್ಮ ಹಿಡುವಳೀದು ತಮಗೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಕರಾರು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ನಡಿಶಿದರು. ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್ ಭೀಮರಾವ್‌ಪಂತರು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಮಾಡಿ ಅವರ ತಕರಾರನ್ನುನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿ ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಕಾಯಂಮಾಡಿಸಿದರು. ಇದು ಪರಿಹಿಂದಲ ಜಮೀನು, ಪರಿಗೆ ಕಾವುಗಾರಿಮಾಡುವ ಜನರು ತಿರುಗುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾಗ ಬಿಡಬೇಕು, ಮಿಕ್ಕದ್ದನ್ನ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಮಾಡಿಕೊ, ಎಂಬದಾಗಿ ಆರಡರು ಮಾಡಿದರು ಸರಕಾರದ ಆ ಆರ್ಡರಿಗೆ ನಾನು ರುಜುಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರವೇ ಪರೀ ಬುಡದಲ್ಲಿ ೯ ಅಡಿ ರುಂದಿ ಜಮೀನು ಬಿಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲದೆ ನನಿಗೆ ಬ್ಯಾಡವಾದ ಜಮೀನು ೮, ೯, ೧೦ ಗಜ ರುಂದಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪರೀಬುಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಉದ್ದಕ್ಕೂ ಜಮೀನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಉಳಕೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನೇ ಸರಕಾರದಿಂದ ಅಳತೆ ಮಾಡಿಶಿಕೊಟ್ಟರು.

ಅವಕ್ಕೇನೆ ಜೇಲಿಹಾಕಿಸಿದೆ. ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್ ಭೀಮರಾವ್‌ಪಂತರ ತರ್ಫೆ ಕೊಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜಮೀನುನಾನು ಸ
ಡಿರುವ ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಜಮೀನಿನ ಜೇಲಿಯ ಹೊರಗೆ ಪರಿಬುಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಧೆಸೋ ಶಿವಾಯಿ ಈ
೧೫೦ ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಜಮೀನ್ ಮಧ್ಯೆ ಇಲ್ಲಾ. ಈಗ ದೃಷ್ಟಾಂತವಾಗಿ ನೋಡಬಹುದು. ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೇ
ನಂಬರು ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬಿಡುತ್ತೇನೆಂಬದಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಮುಚ್ಚಳಿಕೆ
ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ನನ್ನ ರುಜು ಆಗಿರುವದಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ವರಾಮ್ಮರಿಕೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಕಾಗದವು ಪರಿಬುಡದಲ್ಲಿ
ರುವ ಜಮೀನ್‌ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದು. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ದಯಮಾಲಿಸಿರುವ ೧೭ ನೇ ಇ
ಸವಿ ಮೇ ತಾರೀಖು ೧೭ ನೇ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ೨೬೦೫ ನೆ ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸು ಹುಕುಂ ನ್ಯಾಯಪ್ರ್ವರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.
ಈ ಕೆರೆ ಹಿಂದಿಇರುವ ಇತರಜಮೀನ್‌ದಾರರು ಪರಿಬುಡದಲ್ಲಿ ೯, ೧೦ ಅಡಿ ರುಂದಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಬಿಟ್ಟರು
ತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸರಕಾರದಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಶಿರಸಾವಹಿಸಿ ಆರಡಿಗೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಪರಿ ಬುಡ
೮, ೯, ೧೦ ಗಜ ರುಂದಿ ಜಮೀನು ಉದ್ದಕ್ಕೂ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಈಚೆಗೆ ಜೇಲಿಹಾಕಿಶಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಜ
ಮೀನು ಸರಕಾರದಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಅಳತೆ ಆಗಿಧೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ೭೭ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತರಿ ಅವಲ್‌ದರದ ಕುದಾಯ
ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಸಾಲೀಯಾನಾಂ೮ ರೂ॥ ೧೪ ಆ॥ ಕಂದಾಯ ಕಟ್ಟ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ನನ್ನಿಂದ
ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ.

ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ನನ್ನ ರಾಜೇನಾಮೆ ಆಗುವದರಿಗೂ ನನಿಗೆ ಯಾವಾಗ್ಯೂ ಜಾಯಂ ಬಾಗಾಯಿತು
ಜಮೀನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಇಂಥಾ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನನಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಫಸಲು ಇಲ್ಲದೇಲೂ ಆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸ್ತ
ಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರದ ಕೆರೆನೀರು ಕೊಡಿಸದೇಲೂ ಇದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ ೫-೬ ವರ್ಷಕಾಲ ನಾನು ಬಹಳ
ಶ್ರಮಪಟ್ಟು ೪೫೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಖರ್ಚ ಮಾಡಿ ಜಮೀನು ಸರಿಮಾಡಿಶಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂಜರು
ಕಂದಾಯವ್ರಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರಷ್ಟೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಹ್ಯಾಗಾದ
ರು ತಪ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಿಂದ ಮೊದಲಿನಿಂದಲು ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಶ್ಯಾನುಭಾಗರು ನನ್ನ ಸಂಗಡ
ದ್ವೇಷಿಸಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಶೇಕದಾರ ಭೈರಪ್ಪ ಕಂಬಿಗೆಶೇರಿ ನನ್ನ ಈ ವಗೈರೆ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾಯಿಸಮು
ದ್ರದ ಕೆರೆನೀರು ಕೊಡಿಸದೆ ತೊಂದರೆಪಡಿಸಿದರು. ಆಗ ನನ್ನ ಫಸಲುಗಳು ಒಣಗಿದವ್ವನ್ನು ೭೭-೭೮
ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಜಮಾಬಂದಿ ಬಗ್ಯೆದಯಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದವೆ॥ ಡಿಪಟ ಕಮೀಷರ್ರು ಲೇಶಿಸಾ
ಹೇಬರವರು ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷನೋಡಿ ಈ ಕ್ಷಣ ನೀರು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬದಾಗಿ ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರದ ಶೇಕ
ದಾರ್‌ರಿಗೆ ಪದೇಲಗೆ ಸಹಾ ರೂಬರೂಬು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಮಾಡಿದ್ದಲ್ಲದೆ ಆ ದಿವಸವೇ ಆ ಶೇಕದಾರ ಭೈರ
ಪ್ಪನೆ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಕಾಜಿರಿಂದ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟಾಗಿ ಹುಕುಂ ರವಾನಿಶಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಈ ೧೫೦
ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ನೀರು ಕೊಡಿಸದೆ ತಕರಾರುಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ೮೦ ನೇ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಿಸಂವತ್ಸರದಲ್ಲಿ
ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೇ ನಂ॥ ಭೂಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಗಿಬೆಳೆ ಮಾಡಿಶಿದೆ. ಆಗ ಆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಧ ಕಂದಾಯದ ಮೊ
ತ ೧೫೦ ನೇ ನಂ॥ ಭೂಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಗಿಬೆಳೆ ಮಾಡಿಶಿದೆ. ಆಗ ಆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಧ ಕಂದಾಯದ ಮೊ
ಬಲಗನ್ನ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಉಳಕೆ ಅರ್ಧ ಕಂದಾಯರಿಮಿಷ್ಟ್ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಚೀಫ
ಕಮೀಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡೆನು. ಅದು ನ್ಯಾಯವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಆಗುವದರಲ್ಲಿಯೇ
ಸ್ತಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೇ ನಂ॥ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಮಾಡಿಸಿಗುಡ್ಡಹಾಕಿಸಿ ತೆನೆಸಹಿ
ತವಾಗಿದ್ದ ಬಣಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಲ್ಲುರಾಗಿ ಸಹಾ ೧೫೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಾಳತಕ್ಕ ೨೦ ಕುಡಿ ದವಸವಾಗತಕ್ಕ
ಬಣಜಿಯನ್ನ ಸಹಾ ಈ ಶೇಕದಾರ ಶ್ಯಾನುಭಾಗರು ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುದ್ರೆಗಳ ಹಾಕಿ
ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧಿಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡರು. ನಂತರ ೮೦ ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗುಬ್ಬಿ ತಾ॥
ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರರಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ವಗೈರೆ ಜಮೀನುಗಳ ಬಾಕಿ ತೀರಾ ಮಾವತಿಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಆಗ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಸ್ವತ್ತುಗಳ
ನ್ನು ನನಿಗೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಸ್ಮೇನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ನನ್ನ ಬಾಕಿ ರಿಮಿಷ್ಟ್ ಆದ ಮೊಬಲಗು
ಉತ್ತಾರಕೊಡದೆ ಆ ಮೊಬಲಗು ತೀರಾ ವಸೂಲ್ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದುಮತ್ತು ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾದ ಜಪ್ತಿವಗೈರೆ
ಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದರು. ಆ ಲಾಗಾಯಿತುನಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಖರ್ಚಾದು ಇದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಸ
ರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನ್ಯಾಯವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವತ್ತುಗಳು ನನಗೆ ಬಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟರುವದಿಲ್ಲ.

೧೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಯಿಸಮುದ್ರದ ಕೆರೆ ಮೇಂಡುಬಡದು ಭಾರಿಯಾಗಿ ೪ ಗಂಡಿಗೆಳಾದವು. ಆ ಪೈಕಿ ಮೂರು ಗಂಡಿಗಳ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟದಾರರು ಯಾರಿಗೂ ತೊಂದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲದಹಾಗೆ ಆಕೆರೆಯ ಅಂಗಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಣ್ಣು ತೆಗಿಸಿ ಗಂಡಿಗಳ ಭರ್ತಿಮಾಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಒಂದು ಗಂಡಿಗೆಮಾತ್ರ ಆ ಸ್ಥಳದ ಶಾನುಭೋಗ ಶೇಕದಾರಸಹಾ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟದಾರರಾಗಿದ್ದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕ್ರಮಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆರೆಅಂಗಳದಲ್ಲಿಮಣ್ಣು ತೆಗದು ಗಂಡಿ ಭರ್ತಿಮಾಡಿಸದೆ ಇವರಗಳ ಸ್ವ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯು ಅವರುಗಳು ಪೂರ್ವದಿಂದ ಈ ಭೂಮಿ ಸಂಬಂಧದ ಚಿಪ್ಪಿಗೋಸ್ಕರವು ಹಠದಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಜಮೀನುನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಣ್ಣುತೆಗಸಿ ತೀರಾ ನಾಶಪಡಿಸಿದರು. ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಚಿದ್ದುಪ್ರಬಲಿಶಿ ನನ್ನ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರರಲ್ಲಿಯು ಎಗ್ಜಿಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ಇಂಜನೀಯರರಲ್ಲಿಯು ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾದ ಹುಡುಗಳು ನಡಿಶಿದರು. ಮೇಲೂ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ ಜಮಾಬಂದಿವ್ಯಾಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಏಕವಾಗಿ ೩೪ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್ ಭೀಮರಾವ್‌ಪಂತರು ಆರಪರುಮಾಡಿದ್ದದ್ದನ್ನ ನ್ಯಾಯವಿಚಾರಮಾಡದೆ ಅಪಾರ್ಥದಿಂದ ಬೇರೆಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಮಾಡಿ ನನ್ನ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಖಾಲಿಗೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನ ಕಮ್ಮಿಹಾಕಿಶಿದರು.

ಈ ೧೫೦ ನೆ ನಂ|| ಜಮೀನುನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವಫಸಲ್ಲು ನಾನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಇದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಸಾಲಿಯಾನಾ ರೂ೧೪-೧೪-೦ನಂತೆ ೬ವರ್ಷವೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನನ್ನಿಂದಕಂದಾಯ ತೆಗದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈಜಮೀನು ಬಹಳ ದಿವಸಗಳಿಂದ ಬಂಜರು ಆಗಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಜಮೀನುನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಕ್ರಮಪಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ೪೫೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಪೆಚ್ಚುಮಾಡಿ ಜಮೀನು ಸಗಿಮಾಡಿಸಿ ೬೦೦ ಬುಡಬಾಳಿ ಹಾಕಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಆ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಜಮೀನು ೬೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿನ ಯಿಳಭೋಗ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಗಿರಾಕಿ ಬಂದಿತ್ತು. ನನ್ನ ಆಸ್ತಿಯಾದ ಇಂಥಾ ಜಮೀನನ್ನ ನನ್ನ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಶಿವಾಯಿ ನನ್ನ ಖಾತೆಗೆ ಕಮ್ಮಿ ಹಾಕಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಮತ್ತು ನನಿಗೆ ಕಂಪೆನ್‌ಸೆಷನ್ ಸಹಾ ಕೊಡಿಶಿಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಇತರರಿಗೆ ಅಂದರೆ ಬಾಜು ತುರುವೇಕೆರೇ ~~ಸಂಬಿಸಿ ಕಮ~~ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮುಲ ಕೆರೆಗಳ ಹಿಂತೆ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಮಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಂಪೆನ್‌ಸೇಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಆ ಜಮೀನುಗಳ ತೆಗದುಕೊಂಡು ಆ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಪೂರೈಸಿದನಂತರ ಪುನಹಾ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದಜನರಿಗೆ ಆ ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡಿಶಿರುತ್ತ

ಈ ಮಾಯಸಮುದ್ರದ ೧೫೦ನೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆಮಾತ್ರ ನನ್ನರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಶಿವಾಯಿ ಕಮ್ಮಿಹಾಕಿಸುದಕ್ಕು ೧೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜಾಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆರೆಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಪೂರೈಸಿದಾಗಲು ಪುನಹಾ ನನಿಗೆ ಆಕರಕಂಡ ಈ ಜಮೀನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಬಾರದೆಂಬದಾಗಿ ಡಿಪ್ಟೀಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಆರಡರುಮಾಡಿರುವದೇ ಯಾವಾಗ್ಯೂ ಯುಕ್ತವಾಗಿದ್ದಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ೩೪ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಡಿಪ್ಟೀಕಮಿಷನರ ಮೇ || ಸೀ. ಪರಸ್ಸಾಹೇಬರು ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನ ನೀನು ಮಾಡು ಎಂಬದಾಗಿ, ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಪಡಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಕೃತವಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನ ನಂಬಿ ಆಗತೆ ಧೈರ್ಯದಿಂದ ಬಾಗಾಯ್ತು ಮಾಡುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾನು ದರಖಾಸ್ತುಮಾಡಿದಾಗ್ಯೇನೇ ಅದನ್ನ ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿ ನನ್ನ ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ವಾಪಸು ಮಾತುವದಕ್ಕೇನು ಅಡ್ಡಿಯಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡದೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನನ್ನ ದರಖಾಸ್ತನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಸಾಲಿಯಾನಾ ರೂ ೧೪ - ೧೪ - ೦ ಯಂತೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಮೊಕರೂರುಮಾಡಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಅಳತೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದರಿಂದಲೇ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾನುಭೋಗರು ಬಹು ದ್ವೇಷತಾಳಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಮಾಯಸಮುದ್ರದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕೆರೆ ನೀರನ್ನು ೬ ವರ್ಷ ಕಾಲವು ಶುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಾಲೇಸಾಲು ರೂ ೧೪ - ೧೪ - ೦ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಈ ಜಮೀನುನಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಫಸಲು ಮಾಡದೆ ೧೦ ನೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಥಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಜಮೀನುನಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಗೀಜೆಳೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ನನಿಗೆ ದಕ್ಕದಹಾಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದದ್ದನ್ನ ನನ್ನ ಯಾವ ಬಾಕಿಗೂ ಉತ್ತಾರವಾಗಿ ಹರಾಜು ಮಾಡಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನನಗೂ ವಾಪಸುಕೂಡಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಮತ್ತು ಆ ಲಾಗಾಯ್ತು ಅದ್ಯಾಪಿ ನನ್ನ ಇತರ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಬಾಕಿ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಈ

247 215

ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ೪೫೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ವೆಚ್ಚಮಾಡಿ ಕ್ರಮವಡಿಸಿ ೯೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ೬೦೦ ಬುಡಬಾಳೇ ಗಳ ಹಾಕಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಇಂಥಾ ಜಮೀನನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ತೀರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನ್ಯಾಯ ನೋಡದೆ ನಾಶ ಪಡಿಸಿರು ತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈಗಲು ದಯಾಳುವಾಗಿಯು, ಧರ್ಮಪ್ರಭುವಾಗಿಯು, ಸರ್ವವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲು ನ್ಯಾಯದಿಂದ ಕಾಪಾ ಡತಕ್ಕಸ್ವಾಮಿಯಾದ ತಮ್ಮನ್ನ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಬೇಡುವದೇನಂದರೆ:—

೧. ಈ ಮಾಯಸಮುದ್ರದ ಕೆರೆ ಹಿಂದಲ ಮೂಜಿ ನಂಬರು ೧೫೦ ನೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಈಗಲು ಸರ್ಕಾ ರದವರು ದಯಮಾಡಿಸಿ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗೆ ಪುನಹಾ ಖಾಯಂ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

೨. ಈ ಜಮೀನುನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಮಣ್ಣುತೆಗೆಸಿ ಹಳ್ಳಗಳ ಮಾಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ನಾಲಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಈ ಹಳ್ಳಗಳ ಮುಚ್ಚಿಸರಿಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ತಕ್ಕ ಲಾಗವಡು ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಅಥವಾ ಈ ಹಳ್ಳಗಳ ಮುಚ್ಚಿಸಿಕೊಡ ಬೇಕು.

೩. ಮೇಲೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಇರುವ ೧, ೨ ನೇ ಕಲಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡಕೋರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನ ನಡಿಸಲು ದಯಬಾರದಿದ್ದರೆ ಈ೧೫೦ ನೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ನಾನು ವರ್ಷ ೧ ಕ್ಕೆ ೧೪-೧೪-೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿನಂತೆ ೬ ವ ರ್ಷಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂಜರುಕಂದಾಯ ತೆತ್ತು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೆ ೪೫೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ವೆಚ್ಚಮಾಡಿ ೬೦೦ ಬುಡ ಬಾಳೆಗಿಡಗಳ ಹಾಕಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ೬೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿನ ಇಳಭೋಗ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ೯೦ ನೆ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಪ್ಪಂದವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇದೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಘುಲಾಟೆಯಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಲುಕ್ಸಾನು ಸಂ ಭವಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ೬೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕಂಪೆನ್‌ಸೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

೪. ಈ ಜಮೀನುನಲ್ಲಿ ೯೦ ನೆ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಬೆಳೆಸಿ ಗುಡ್ಡೆ ಹಾಕಿಸಿದ್ದ ರಾಗಿಫಸಲಿನ ಬಣಚಿ ೨೦ ಖಂಡಿ ದವಸವಾಗತಕ್ಕದುಲ್ಲು ರಾಗೀ ಸಹಾ ೧೬೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಾಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಆಗಲೆ ನನ್ನ ರಿವಿನ್ಯೂ ಬಾಕಿಗಾಗಿ ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿ ಅದನ್ನ ನನ್ನ ಯಾವಬಾಕೀಗೂ ಉತ್ತಾರಕೊಡದೇಲು ನನಿಗೂ ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡಿಸದೇಲು ಅಕ್ರಮದಿಂದ ಅಪಹರಿಸಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದರ ಕಿಮ್ಮತು ೧೬೦ ರೂಪಾ ಯಿಯನ್ನ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

ಮೇಲೆ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಸಂಗತಿಗಳಿಗೂ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದ ಆಜ್ಞೆರು ಫೈಸಲು ಹುಕು ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟು ನನ್ನನು ಕಾಪಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

248

E.Q. 53-77 57

(General Serial No. 34.)

No. 2247.

ENDORSEMENT.

61

In reply to his Petition, dated 4th November 1887.

Ramingar of Mayasundra, Tiptur Taluk _____ is informed that the Case having ~~been~~ already been disposed of by orders of 17th May 1887 based upon the written statement of Petitioner of 4th October 1875, ~~and~~ there are no grounds whatever for a review of those orders. Petition is accordingly rejected. The enclosures are returned.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
19/22 November 1887.

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-9-85.—2,000.

COURT FEE
25-11-87
ONE ANNA

62

The copies of pleadings
are not the pleadings
25/11/87
P.C.

[illegible]

250

217

L.R. 53 77-8

(63)

Read:-

Petition dated 4th Novr. 1887 from Ramiengar of Mayasamudra in the Tiptur Taluk regarding his lands under the Bommenahalli Tank.

Read also

(1) Dewan's Pro: No 3158/163 dy 18th August 1884.

(2) Tumkur Dy. Commrs Letter No 66 dy. 12th May 1887.

(3) Dewan's Proceedings No. 1577/61 dy. 29th June 1887.

Order thereon:—

Camp no 2013
2/6-12-87

Ramiengar, in his present petition, ~~requests~~ asks for the remission of the whole of the ~~aforesaid~~ arrears of Rs. 150-9-0 due by him on the lands ~~cultivated during~~ in the bed of the Bommenahalli Tank. ~~Since~~ Half of this amount, viz, Rs. 75-4-6, was remitted in Proceedings

No. 1577/61 d/29th June 1887.

~~The~~ Dewan is pleased to remit also the remaining half Rs. 75.4.6, which should be struck off the accounts.

It is noted that the Dy. Commr. has already removed the assessment of the bed as regards the future.

As assessment upon the bed of a tank & [illegible] be levied for one & the same period, the [illegible] it ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] be [illegible]

2. As regards the reconstruction of the Tank, Petr. Ramisnegar is at liberty to restore it within a reasonable time of the prop[illegible] [illegible] Dy. Commr. - His application for a Takkavi advance of Rs. 2,000 under the Land Improvement Act will be referred to the Dy. Commr. who will report whether the advance may be given and the nature of the security which Ramisnegar is prepared to offer for the repayment of the loan. Ramisnegar's lands under the Tank are not ~~considered~~ of course

& the DC must satisfy himself that there is a reasonable prospect of the Govt executing ~~& of the DC is satisfied~~ the work. ~~that there is~~

sufficient security ~~or such if he is prepared to tender any other tangible security, his application may be submitted for orders~~.

3. The remission of Rs. 137.3.0. applied for on account of the lands under the tank cannot be sanctioned. for reasons which already have been fully recorded.

2-12-87

Chf Secy

To Dy Comr Tumkur
with Ramaiyya's petn
of 4th Nov 87

(General Serial No. 31.)

1888.

From Deputy Commissioner Tumkur

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 17 April 1888.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 31

No. 32 1888. 89

Chief Secretary's No 2013 of 22 December 1887.

Recommends the payment of an advance of Rs 2000 to enable Krishnappa Rao Manager to build up the breached tank.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 432 APR 31

4. I beg to take ~~the~~ opportunity to state that Ramanjangar still persists to pay up the arrears due from him, and urges that because the bed has been assessed he could not repair the tank. The assessment was removed in the year Sarvajith and the arrears are for that and the previous years. Under the peculiar circumstances of the petitioner's case, half remission on the atchakat land has been granted for the years Pramathi, Vikrama and Vishu, and the petitioner urges that it will be consistent to remit the same for the years Chitbhanu Swabhanu, Tarana, Parthiva and Vyaya, amounting to Rs. 145-11-7. As, however, the Dewans Order above quoted

quoted is final and explicit, I have ordered that compulsory measures should be adopted for the recovery of this amount.

285

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

W. C. Musto
Deputy Commissioner,
Lumtem District.

No 32

256

(221) Deputy Commrs' Office,
Tumkur District.

Dd Tumkur 17th April 1888.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

(64)

Sir,

With reference to the Dewan's order Camp No 2013 of 2nd December 10, I have the honour to recommend the payment of an advance of Rs 2000, to enable Ramanyangar to build up the breached tank.

2. He has no other security to offer than the lands under the tank, and if he agrees to deposit the money in the Taluq Treasury, and be paid from time to time according to the Certificate to be made by an Officer of the D.P.W., I think the money

may

may be advanced. He promises to repay the loan within a period of ten years, and this is not unreasonable and may be sanctioned. He prays that the interest may be remitted. It is doubtless his duty to keep the tank in good repair, but having regard to the fact that this tank was breached on account of the floods caused by the breach of Nonnakeré Tank, I think some concession may be shown, and if it is not possible to remit the whole interest, a lower rate than 6¼ per cent prescribed under the rules may be sanctioned.

3. The required documents will be properly secured after the orders of Government are conveyed on this reference.

8
P.84

(General Serial No. 31)

188

From L.Q. 53-77-60

To The Dy Comm Finance
Comptroller

Dated May 1888

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 999-1000

No. 25-

To. Dy Com. No 124 8/17 Jan/89

Compare

254 (65) 226

(60)

Read:—

Letter No. 32 dated 17 April 1888 from the Dy Commissioner, Tumkur reporting upon the petition of Ramiengar of Maya-Sandra, Tiptur Taluk, praying for an advance of Rs. 2000/ to enable him to reconstruct the Bommen-halli Tank.

Read also Petition from Ramiengar, dated 4th May 1888, praying for remission of a portion of the arrears due on his lands in the Bommenahalli village.

Order thereon:— No. dated 7th May 1888.

The Dewan has again carefully gone through the complicated proceedings of this case. Petitioner Ramiengar is not strictly entitled to any further

mission of the arrears
e from him on account
the wet and dry lands
his occupancy in the
mmenhalli village. But
it is evident that he has
ffered great losses in
many ways — Having regard
these losses and as a
matter of grace and as an
inducement to enable him
to reconstruct at an early date
the breached tank on which
his lands are dependent
for irrigation, the Dewan
is pleased to direct that
all arrears in respect of
Ramiengar's dry and wet
lands in Bommenhalli
up to the end of the year
1887-88 shall be remitted,
with the exception of Rs
60-0-0 (land Revenue & Local
Cess included) which Petitioner
must pay at once — Upon

× Wet — No 26. Acres. 11-15.
No 30. Acres - 11-10
Part of No 33 - Acres. 5-[illegible]
Total. 27-33

Dry. Part of No 25 — Acres 1-[illegible]
Part of No 33 — Acres 6
Part of No 41 — Acres
Total Acres 9-

payment of this amount the arrears against the lands in question shall be struck off the accounts

2. The area of Petri's wet lands under the Bommenahalli Tank is 27 A - 33 G, whereas he is entitled to the equivalent of 21¼ Kandies which he held before the Survey Settlement - The amount of wet land requ. to make up the total of his holding 43 A - 36 G - 64 Sq yds should at once be made over to him, and he shall pay upon this land an assessment of Rs 65-10- per annum from the year 1888-89, without fail.

3. The advance of Rs 2000/- applied for by the Petitioner for the reconstruction of the Bommenahalli Tank is also sanctioned under the Land Improvement Act - The security for this

262 (289)

oan shall be the tank work
of and Petitioner's lands
Bommenhalli, as also
village of Vanikere in
Kunigal Taluk which
he proposes to make avail-
ble for this purpose.
security as above mentioned
r other security of equal
ue be given, the Dy.
mr. will get the required
ocuments executed and
pay Ramiengar the advance
Rs 2000/- above sanctioned.
he advance shall be repay-
ble with interest at 5%
her annum as follows:—

At the end of the 1st year from date of advance — Rs. 100.
Do — 2nd year — Do — Rs. 100.
Do — 3rd year — Do — Rs. 100.
Do — 4th year — Do — Rs. 100.
Do — 5th year — Do — Rs. 100.
Do — 6th year — Do — Rs. 300
Do — 7th year — Do — Rs. 390.
Do — 8th year — Do — Rs. 475.
Do — 9th year — Do — Rs. 555
Do — 9th year — Do — Rs. 630.

Chief Secy.

To. The Dy. Commr., Tumkur Dist
with Ramiengar's petn. 2/4
Para 3 to Comptroller

7/5/8/8